arXiv:1610.02640v1 [math.RT] 9 Oct 2016

LUSZTIG DATA OF KASHIWARA-NAKASHIMA TABLEAUX IN TYPES B AND C

JAE-HOON KWON

Abstract. We give a simple combinatorial description of the embedding of the crystal of Kashiwara-Nakashima tableaux in types B and C into that of $\mathbf{i}$-Lusztig data associated to a family of reduced expressions $\mathbf{i}$ of the longest element w_0. A spinor model for classical crystals and a combinatorial description of its embedding into the crystal of a maximal parabolic Verma module play an important role connecting Kashiwara-Nakashima tableaux and Lusztig data.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
2. Preliminaries 4
2.1. Notations 4
2.2. Crystals 4
2.3. PBW-type basis and Lusztig data 6
2.4. Kashiwara-Nakashima tableaux 6
3. Spinor model for classical crystals 9
3.1. Combinatorics of two-columned skew tableaux 9
3.2. Spinor model for classical crystals 10
3.3. Isomorphism between KN tableaux and spinor model 14
4. Crystal of a maximal parabolic Verma module 18
4.1. Crystal of a maximal parabolic Verma module 18
4.2. Crystal structure on Lusztig data 19
4.3. Embedding into the crystal of Lusztig data 23
5. Embedding of KN tableaux into Lusztig data 27
5.1. Separation algorithm 27
5.2. Main result 33
5.3. Examples 36

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17B37, 22E46, 05E10.

Key words and phrases. quantum groups, crystal graphs, Kashiwara-Nakashima tableaux, Lusztig data.

This work was supported by Samsung Science and Technology Foundation under Project Number SSTF-BA1501-01.

References 39

1. Introduction

Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}$ is a complex finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra. Let $B(\infty)$ be the crystal of the negative part of the quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ and let $B(\lambda)$ be the crystal of an integrable highest weight $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module with highest weight λ [10].

Let $\mathbf{i}$ be a reduced expression of the longest element w_0 of length N in the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{g}$. There is a realization of $B(\infty)$ given by the set of N-tuples of non-negative integers called the $\mathbf{i}$-*Lusztig data*, which parametrizes a PBW-type basis associated to $\mathbf{i}$ and hence the canonical basis of the negative part of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ [26, 28]. The crystal of this Lusztig parametrization has a rich combinatorial structure including a connection with the geometry of the totally positive varieties [1], and is also closely related with other important realizations of $B(\infty)$, for example the Kashiwara's string parametrizaion and MV polytopes [1, 9].

On the other hand, when $\mathfrak{g}$ is of classical type, there is a well-known combinatorial model for $B(\lambda)$ called *Kashiwara-Nakashima tableaux* (*KN tableaux* for short) [14], which generalizes the notion of Young tableaux in type A, and has been an important tool for studying crystals of classical type [29] and the Kirillov-Reshetikhin crystals of non-exceptional affine type [4, 25].

The purpose of this paper is to give an explicit description of the relationship between these two primarily important combinatorial models for $B(\infty)$ and $B(\lambda)$ in types B and C. Let $\mathbf{B_i}$ be the set of $\mathbf{i}$-Lusztig data and $\mathbf{KN}_\lambda$ (or $\mathbf{KN}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{g}}$) the set of KN tableaux of shape λ (of type $\mathfrak{g}$). We assume that the Weyl group of type B_n or C_n can be embedded into that of type A_{2n-1} as the set of fixed elements under the Dynkin symmetry. Let $\mathbf{i}$ be a reduced expression of w_0 such that the image of $\mathbf{i}$ in the Weyl group of type A is adapted to a Dynkin quiver of A_{2n-1}, which has a single sink in the middle vertex.

The main result in this paper is to give a simple combinatorial description of the crystal embedding

$$\mathbf{KN}_\lambda \hookrightarrow \mathbf{B_i} \otimes T_{\omega_\lambda} \ ,$$

where ω_λ is the highest weight corresponding to λ, and $T_{\omega_\lambda} = \{t_{\omega_\lambda}\}$ is an abstract crystal with $\mathrm{wt}(t_{\omega_\lambda}) = \omega_\lambda$ and $\varphi_i(t_{\omega_\lambda}) = -\infty$ for each simple root index i (Theorem 5.9). It is well-known that there is a concrete description of the embedding in case of type A. For example, when $\mathbf{i} = (1, 2, 1, 3, 2, 1, \dots)$, the $\mathbf{i}$-Lusztig datum of a Young tableau is determined by counting the number of its entries in each row (see also [21] for a generalization to the case of quivers with a single sink). But there does

not seem to be a simple formula or algorithm for computing the $\mathbf{i}$-Lusztig datum of any KN tableaux of the other classical types.

A key ingredient of our approach is to consider intermediate combinatorial models of crystals between $\mathbf{KN}_\lambda$ and $\mathbf{B}_\mathbf{i}$, and factorize the embedding into a composite of the following three morphisms;

$$\mathbf{KN}_\lambda \xrightarrow{\Psi_\lambda} \mathbf{T}_\lambda \xrightarrow{\Theta_\lambda} \mathbf{V}_\lambda \otimes T_{r\omega_n} \xrightarrow{\Phi_\lambda} \mathbf{B}_\mathbf{i} \otimes T_{\omega_\lambda}\ , \tag{1.1}$$

where $r = \langle \omega_\lambda, h_n \rangle$, $\mathbf{T}_\lambda$ (or $\mathbf{T}^{\mathfrak{g}}_\lambda$) is another tableau model of $B(\omega_\lambda)$ introduced in [19, 20], and $\mathbf{V}_\lambda$ (or $\mathbf{V}^{\mathfrak{g}}_\lambda$) is the crystal of a parabolic Verma module with respect to a maximal Levi subalgebra $\mathfrak{l}$ of type A in $\mathfrak{g}$ [16, 17].

Let us explain our description of (1.1) in more details. The crystal $\mathbf{T}_\lambda$ is given by a set of sequences of Young tableaux of two-columned skew shapes satisfying certain conditions of admissibility. It is based on the fact that a non-spinor fundamental weight module can be embedded in a two-fold tensor power of the spin representation of a q-deformed Clifford algebra [7]. Hence the isomorphism Ψ_λ maps each column of a non-spinor weight in KN tableaux to a two-columned skew Young tableaux (Theorems 3.14 and 3.19), where each single column on the side of $\mathbf{T}_\lambda$ corresponds to a monomial in a spin representation. We may call $\mathbf{T}_\lambda$ a *spinor model* of $B(\lambda)$ for this reason. It also plays as an important combinatorial model in the problems of extending various stable branching formulas for classical groups [18] and proving the existence of a crystal base for quantum orthosymplectic superalgebras [19, 20].

The crystal $\mathbf{V}_\lambda$ is given by a set of pairs of Young tableaux, which was introduced in the study of irreducible characters of classical type as a truncation of Cauchy or Littlewood identity (multiplied by a Schur polynomial) and their stable branching formulas. It is the crystal of a parabolic Verma module in the sense that $\mathbf{V}_\lambda$ is a limit of the crystals of finite-dimensional modules over $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ whose highest weight with respect to the Levi subalgebra $\mathfrak{l}$ is λ. Thanks to a combinatorial description of $B(\infty)$ in terms of quiver representations [32] and a crystal theoretic interpretation of RSK algorithm [16], the embedding Φ_λ maps a pair of Young tableaux to a pair of upper triangular matrix which are the $\mathbf{i}$-Lusztig data corresponding to the Levi subalgebra $\mathfrak{l}$ and the associated nilradical of $\mathfrak{g}$, respectively (Theorem 4.8).

Now the classical methods in type A combinatorics naturally enters into this picture of types B and C since both of the crystals $\mathbf{T}_\lambda$ and $\mathbf{V}_\lambda$ are given in terms of Young tableaux. Indeed, we show that the embedding Θ_λ is obtained by a successive application of the Schützenberger's jeu de taquin sliding to a tableau in $\mathbf{T}_\lambda$ (Theorem 5.7). The description of Θ_λ is a crucial part of (1.1).

We remark that our description of the embedding, though its verification is far from being obvious, is simple and elementary using only the Schützenberger's jeu

de taquin sliding and RSK (see Examples in Section 5.3). We conjecture a similar result for type D using a spinor model of type D [20], while we need an approach different from types B and C since we do not know yet a direct analogue of Φ_λ in (1.1) for type D.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Notations.** Let $\mathbb{Z}_+$ be the set of non-negative integers. Let $\mathscr{P}$ be the set of partitions. We identify $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots) \in \mathscr{P}$ with a Young diagram. We denote by $\lambda' = (\lambda'_1, \lambda'_2, \ldots)$ the conjugate of λ, and by λ^π the skew Young diagram obtained by 180°-rotation of λ. For $n \geqslant 1$ let $\mathscr{P}_n = \{\, \lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \,|\, \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \lambda_i \geqslant \lambda_{i+1} \text{ for all } i \,\} \subset \mathscr{P}$.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a linearly ordered set with a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0 \sqcup \mathcal{A}_1$. For a skew Young diagram λ/μ, let $SST_{\mathcal{A}}(\lambda/\mu)$ be the set of all semistandard tableaux of shape λ/μ with entries in $\mathcal{A}$, that is, (1) the entries in each row (resp. column) are weakly increasing from left to right (resp. from top to bottom), (2) the entries in $\mathcal{A}_0$ (resp. $\mathcal{A}_1$) are strictly increasing in each column (resp. row). For $T \in SST_{\mathcal{A}}(\lambda/\mu)$, let $w(T)$ be a word obtained by reading the entries of T row by row from top to bottom, and from right to left in each row (cf. [6]). We denote by $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{A}}$ the set of words of finite length with letters in $\mathcal{A}$.

2.2. **Crystals.** Let us give a brief review on crystals (see [3, 12] for more details). Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Kac-Moody algebra associated to a symmetrizable generalized Cartan matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j\in I}$ indexed by a set I. Let $P^\vee$ be the dual weight lattice, $P = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(P^\vee, \mathbb{Z})$ the weight lattice, $\Pi^\vee = \{\, h_i \,|\, i \in I \,\} \subset P^\vee$ the set of simple coroots, and $\Pi = \{\, \alpha_i \,|\, i \in I \,\} \subset P$ the set of simple roots of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $\langle \alpha_j, h_i \rangle = a_{ij}$ for $i, j \in I$. Let $(d_i)_{i\in I}$ be a sequence of positive integers such that $(d_i a_{ij})$ is symmetric.

Let P^+ be the set of integral dominant weights. Let W be the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{g}$ generated by the simple reflection s_i for $i \in I$.

A $\mathfrak{g}$-*crystal* (or simply a *crystal* if there is no confusion on $\mathfrak{g}$) is a set B together with the maps $\mathrm{wt} : B \to P$, $\varepsilon_i, \varphi_i : B \to \mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty\}$ and $\widetilde{e}_i, \widetilde{f}_i : B \to B \cup \{\mathbf{0}\}$ for $i \in I$ satisfying the following:

(1) $\varphi_i(b) = \langle \mathrm{wt}(b), h_i \rangle + \varepsilon_i(b)$,
(2) $\varepsilon_i(\widetilde{e}_i b) = \varepsilon_i(b) - 1$, $\varphi_i(\widetilde{e}_i b) = \varphi_i(b) + 1$, $\mathrm{wt}(\widetilde{e}_i b) = \mathrm{wt}(b) + \alpha_i$ if $\widetilde{e}_i b \in B$,
(3) $\varepsilon_i(\widetilde{f}_i b) = \varepsilon_i(b) + 1$, $\varphi_i(\widetilde{f}_i b) = \varphi_i(b) - 1$, $\mathrm{wt}(\widetilde{f}_i b) = \mathrm{wt}(b) - \alpha_i$ if $\widetilde{f}_i b \in B$,
(4) $\widetilde{f}_i b = b'$ if and only if $b = \widetilde{e}_i b'$ for $b' \in B$,
(5) $\widetilde{e}_i b = \widetilde{f}_i b = \mathbf{0}$ when $\varphi_i(b) = -\infty$,

for $b \in B$ and $i \in I$. Here $\mathbf{0}$ is a formal symbol and $-\infty$ is the smallest element in $\mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty\}$ such that $-\infty + n = -\infty$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $b, b' \in B$, we write $b \xrightarrow{i} b'$ if $b' = \widetilde{f}_i b$ for $i \in I$.

A tensor product $B_1 \otimes B_2$ of crystals B_1 and B_2 is defined to be a crystal, which is $B_1 \times B_2$ as a set with elements denoted by $b_1 \otimes b_2$, satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{wt}(b_1 \otimes b_2) &= \mathrm{wt}(b_1) + \mathrm{wt}(b_2),\\
\varepsilon_i(b_1 \otimes b_2) &= \max\{\varepsilon_i(b_1), \varepsilon_i(b_2) - \langle \mathrm{wt}(b_1), h_i \rangle\},\\
\varphi_i(b_1 \otimes b_2) &= \max\{\varphi_i(b_1) + \langle \mathrm{wt}(b_2), h_i \rangle, \varphi_i(b_2)\},\\
\widetilde{e}_i(b_1 \otimes b_2) &= \begin{cases} \widetilde{e}_i b_1 \otimes b_2, & \text{if } \varphi_i(b_1) \geqslant \varepsilon_i(b_2),\\ b_1 \otimes \widetilde{e}_i b_2, & \text{if } \varphi_i(b_1) < \varepsilon_i(b_2), \end{cases}\\
\widetilde{f}_i(b_1 \otimes b_2) &= \begin{cases} \widetilde{f}_i b_1 \otimes b_2, & \text{if } \varphi_i(b_1) > \varepsilon_i(b_2),\\ b_1 \otimes \widetilde{f}_i b_2, & \text{if } \varphi_i(b_1) \leqslant \varepsilon_i(b_2), \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

for $i \in I$. Here we assume that $\mathbf{0} \otimes b_2 = b_1 \otimes \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$.

A morphism $\psi : B_1 \to B_2$ is a map from $B_1 \cup \{\mathbf{0}\}$ to $B_2 \cup \{\mathbf{0}\}$ such that

(1) $\psi(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$,
(2) $\mathrm{wt}(\psi(b)) = \mathrm{wt}(b)$, $\varepsilon_i(\psi(b)) = \varepsilon_i(b)$, and $\varphi_i(\psi(b)) = \varphi_i(b)$ when $\psi(b) \neq \mathbf{0}$,
(3) $\psi(\widetilde{e}_i b) = \widetilde{e}_i \psi(b)$ for $b \in B_1$ such that $\psi(b) \neq \mathbf{0}$ and $\psi(\widetilde{e}_i b) \neq \mathbf{0}$,
(4) $\psi(\widetilde{f}_i b) = \widetilde{f}_i \psi(b)$ for $b \in B_1$ such that $\psi(b) \neq \mathbf{0}$ and $\psi(\widetilde{f}_i b) \neq \mathbf{0}$.

We call ψ an embedding and B_1 a subcrystal of B_2 when ψ is injective.

The dual crystal $B^\vee$ of a crystal B is defined to be the set $\{\, b^\vee \mid b \in B \,\}$ with $\mathrm{wt}(b^\vee) = -\mathrm{wt}(b)$, $\varepsilon_i(b^\vee) = \varphi_i(b)$, $\varphi_i(b^\vee) = \varepsilon_i(b)$, $\widetilde{e}_i(b^\vee) = (\widetilde{f}_i b)^\vee$ and $\widetilde{f}_i(b^\vee) = (\widetilde{e}_i b)^\vee$ for $b \in B$ and $i \in I$. (Here we assume that $\mathbf{0}^\vee = \mathbf{0}$.) For $\mu \in P$, let $T_\mu = \{t_\mu\}$ be a crystal, where $\mathrm{wt}(t_\mu) = \mu$, and $\varphi_i(t_\mu) = -\infty$ for all $i \in I$. Given b_i in crystals B_i $(i = 1, 2)$, we write $b_1 \equiv b_2$ if there is an isomorphism of crystals $C(b_1) \to C(b_2)$ mapping b_1 to b_2, where $C(b_i)$ denotes the connected component of b_i in B_i.

Let q be an indeterminate. Let $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ be the quantized enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, which is an associative $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-algebra with 1 generated by e_i, f_i, and q^h for $i \in I$ and $h \in P^\vee$. Let $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ be the negative part of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$, the subalgebra generated by f_i for $i \in I$. We denote by $B(\infty)$ the crystal associated to $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$, and by $B(\Lambda)$ the crystal associated to an irreducible highest weight $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module with highest weight $\Lambda \in P^+$.

Let $*$ be the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-linear anti-automorphism of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $e_i^* = e_i$, $f_i^* = f_i$, and $(q^h)^* = q^{-h}$ for $i \in I$ and $h \in P$. It is shown in [10, 11] that $*$ induces a bijection on $B(\infty)$. For $i \in I$, we define $\widetilde{e}_i^* = * \circ \widetilde{e}_i \circ *$ and $\widetilde{f}_i^* = * \circ \widetilde{f}_i \circ *$ on $B(\infty)$.

2.3. **PBW-type basis and Lusztig data.** Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}$ is a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra. Let us review the PBW-type basis of $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ [26, 27, 33]. Let w_0 be the longest element in W of length N, and let $R(w_0) = \{ (i_1, \dots, i_N) \,|\, w_0 = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_N} \}$ be the set of reduced expressions of w_0.

For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \in I$, let $t_i = q^{h_i}$, $e_i^{(m)} = e_i^m/[m]!$, and $f_i^{(m)} = f_i^m/[m]!$, where $[m] = \frac{q^m - q^{-m}}{q - q^{-1}}$ and $[m]! = [1][2]\cdots[m]$. For $i \in I$, let T_i be the $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-algebra automorphism of U, which is equal to $T'_{i,-1}$ in [28], given by

$$T_i(t_j) = t_j t_i^{-a_{ij}},$$

$$T_i(e_j) = \begin{cases} -t_i^{-1} f_i, & \text{if } j = i, \\ \sum_{k+l=-a_{ij}} (-1)^k q_i^{-k} e_i^{(k)} e_j e_i^{(l)}, & \text{if } j \neq i, \end{cases}$$

$$T_i(f_j) = \begin{cases} -e_i t_i, & \text{if } j = i, \\ \sum_{k+l=-a_{ij}} (-1)^k q_i^{k} f_i^{(l)} f_j f_i^{(k)}, & \text{if } j \neq i, \end{cases}$$

for $j \in I$, where $a_{ij} = \langle \alpha_j, h_i \rangle$ and $q_i = q^{d_i}$.

For $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_N) \in R(w_0)$ and $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_N) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N$, let

$$b_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{c}) = f_{i_1}^{(c_1)} T_{i_1}(f_{i_2}^{(c_2)}) \cdots T_{i_1} T_{i_2} \cdots T_{i_{N-1}}(f_{i_N}^{(c_N)}). \tag{2.1}$$

Then the set $B_{\mathbf{i}} := \{ b_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{c}) \,|\, \mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^N \}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-basis of $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ called a *PBW-type basis*.

Let A_0 denote the subring of $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ consisting of rational functions regular at $q = 0$. The A_0-lattice of $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by $B_{\mathbf{i}}$ is independent of the choice of $\mathbf{i}$, which we denote by $L(\infty)$. For $i \in I$, let $\widetilde{e}_i$ and $\widetilde{f}_i$ denote the modified Kashiwara operators on U^- given by

$$\widetilde{e}_i x = \sum_{k \geqslant 1} f_i^{(k-1)} x_k, \qquad \widetilde{f}_i x = \sum_{k \geqslant 0} f_i^{(k+1)} x_k,$$

for $x = \sum_{k \geqslant 0} f_i^{(k)} x_k$, where $x_k \in T_i(U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})) \cap U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ for $k \geqslant 0$. Then $L(\infty)$ is invariant under $\widetilde{e}_i$, $\widetilde{f}_i$. If $\pi : L(\infty) \to L(\infty)/qL(\infty)$ is a canonical projection, then $\pi(B_{\mathbf{i}})$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-basis of $L(\infty)/qL(\infty)$, which is also independent of the choice of $\mathbf{i}$, and $\pi(B_{\mathbf{i}}) \cup \{0\}$ is invariant under $\widetilde{e}_i$, $\widetilde{f}_i$ for $i \in I$. Hence $\pi(B_{\mathbf{i}})$ becomes a $\mathfrak{g}$-crystal with respect to $\widetilde{e}_i$ and $\widetilde{f}_i$, which is isomorphic to $B(\infty)$. We identify $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}} := \mathbb{Z}_+^N$ with a crystal $\pi(B_{\mathbf{i}})$ under the map $\mathbf{c} \mapsto b_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{c})$, and call $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}}$ an $\mathbf{i}$-*Lusztig datum*.

2.4. **Kashiwara-Nakashima tableaux.** Let us review the notion of Kashiwara-Nakashima tableaux (KN tableaux for short) [14].

Fix a positive integer $n \geqslant 2$ throughout the paper. From now on, we assume that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{b}_n$ of type B_n or $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{c}_n$ of type C_n with $I = \{1, \dots, n\}$. Let $\mathfrak{l}$ be the Levi subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ corresponding to $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}\}$.

We assume that the weight lattice of $\mathfrak{g}$ is $P = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{Z}\epsilon_i$, where $\{\, \epsilon_i \,|\, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n \,\}$ is an orthonormal basis with respect to a symmetric bilinear form $(\ ,\ )$. We denote by ω_i the ith fundamental weight for $i \in I$. For $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}_n$, we put

$$\omega_\lambda = \lambda_1\epsilon_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n\epsilon_n.$$

We consider a linearly ordered set

$$\mathbb{J}_n = \{\, 1 < 2 < \cdots < n < 0 < \overline{n} < \cdots < \overline{2} < \overline{1} \,\}.$$

We put $\mathbb{J}_n^\times = \mathbb{J}_n \backslash \{0\}$, $[n] = \{\, 1, \ldots, n \,\}$, and $[\overline{n}] = \{\, \overline{n}, \ldots, \overline{1} \,\}$. We assume that $\mathbb{J}_n$ is $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded where $(\mathbb{J}_n)_0 = \mathbb{J}_n^\times$ and $(\mathbb{J}_n)_1 = \{0\}$.

2.4.1. *Type C_n.* Suppose that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{c}_n$ with Dynkin diagram



where $\alpha_i = \epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$, and $\alpha_n = 2\epsilon_n$. We have $\omega_i = \epsilon_1 + \cdots + \epsilon_i$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, and $P^+ = \{\, \omega_\lambda \,|\, \lambda \in \mathscr{P}_n \,\}$. Note that $\omega_\lambda = \sum_{i \geqslant 1} \omega_{\lambda'_i}$ for $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}_n$.

Definition 2.1. For $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}_n$, let $\mathbf{KN}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{c}_n}$ be the set of $T \in SST_{\mathbb{J}_n^\times}(\lambda^\pi)$ satisfying

- ($\mathfrak{c}$-1) if $T(i_1, j) = \overline{a}$ and $T(i_2, j) = a$ for some a and $1 \leqslant i_1 < i_2 \leqslant \lambda'_j$, then we have $i_1 + (\lambda'_j - i_2 + 1) \leqslant a$,
- ($\mathfrak{c}$-2) if either $T(p, j) = \overline{a}$, $T(q, j) = \overline{b}$, $T(r, j) = b$, $T(s, j+1) = a$ or $T(p, j) = \overline{a}$, $T(q, j+1) = \overline{b}$, $T(r, j+1) = b$, $T(s, j+1) = a$ for some $1 \leqslant a \leqslant b \leqslant n$, and $p \leqslant q < r \leqslant s$, then we have $(q - p) + (s - r) < b - a$,

where $T(i, j)$ denotes the entry of T in the ith row from the bottom and the jth column from the right. We call $\mathbf{KN}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{c}_n}$ the set of *KN tableaux of type C_n of shape λ.*

The set $\mathbf{KN}_{(1)}^{\mathfrak{c}_n}$ has a $\mathfrak{c}_n$-crystal structure such that $\mathbf{KN}_{(1)}^{\mathfrak{c}_n} \cong B(\omega_1)$, where

$$\boxed{1} \xrightarrow{1} \boxed{2} \xrightarrow{2} \cdots \xrightarrow{n-1} \boxed{n} \xrightarrow{n} \boxed{\overline{n}} \xrightarrow{n-1} \cdots \xrightarrow{2} \boxed{\overline{2}} \xrightarrow{1} \boxed{\overline{1}}$$

with $\mathrm{wt}(\boxed{i}) = \epsilon_i$ and $\mathrm{wt}(\boxed{\overline{i}}) = -\epsilon_i$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. For $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}_n$ and $i \in I$, we define $\widetilde{e}_i$ and $\widetilde{f}_i$ on $\mathbf{KN}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{c}_n}$ under the identification of $T \in \mathbf{KN}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{c}_n}$ with $\boxed{w_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \boxed{w_r} \in (\mathbf{KN}_{(1)}^{\mathfrak{c}_n})^{\otimes r}$ when $w(T) = w_1 \cdots w_r$. Then $\mathbf{KN}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{c}_n}$ is a $\mathfrak{c}_n$-crystal with respect to $\widetilde{e}_i$ and $\widetilde{f}_i$ for $i \in I$, and

$$\mathbf{KN}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{c}_n} \cong B(\omega_\lambda). \tag{2.2}$$

Remark 2.2. Note that a KN tableau in [14] is of shape λ. One may consider $\mathbf{KN}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{c}_n}$ here as the dual crystal of the KN tableaux of shape λ in [14]. This immediately implies (2.2). The same holds for the case of type B_n in the next subsection.

2.4.2. *Type B_n.* Suppose that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{b}_n$ with Dynkin diagram



where $\alpha_i = \epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$, and $\alpha_n = \epsilon_n$. We have $\omega_i = \epsilon_1 + \cdots + \epsilon_i$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$, $\omega_n = (\epsilon_1 + \cdots + \epsilon_n)/2$, and $P^+ = \{\, \omega_\lambda, \omega_\lambda + \omega_n \,|\, \lambda \in \mathscr{P}_n \,\}$.

Definition 2.3. For $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}_n$, let $\mathbf{KN}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{b}_n}$ be the set of $T \in SST_{\mathbb{J}_n}(\lambda^\pi)$ satisfying

- ($\mathfrak{b}$-1) if $T(i_1, j) = \overline{a}$ and $T(i_2, j) = a$ for some a and $i_1 < i_2$, then we have $i_1 + (\lambda'_j - i_2 + 1) \leqslant a$,
- ($\mathfrak{b}$-2) if either $T(p,j) = \overline{a}$, $T(q,j) = \overline{b}$, $T(r,j) = b$, $T(s, j+1) = a$ or $T(p,j) = \overline{a}$, $T(q, j+1) = \overline{b}$, $T(r, j+1) = b$, $T(s, j+1) = a$ for some $1 \leqslant a \leqslant b < n$, and $p \leqslant q < r \leqslant s$, then we have $(q-p) + (s-r) < b - a$,
- ($\mathfrak{b}$-3) if either $T(p,j) = \overline{a}$, $T(s, j+1) = a$, $T(q,j), T(r,j) \in \{n, 0, \overline{n}\}$ or $T(p,j) = \overline{a}$, $T(s, j+1) = a$, $T(q, j+1), T(r, j+1) \in \{n, 0, \overline{n}\}$ for some $1 \leqslant a < n$ and $p \leqslant q < r = q+1 \leqslant s$, then we have $(q-p) + (s-r) < b - a$,
- ($\mathfrak{b}$-4) there is no $p < q$ such that $T(p,j) \in \{0, \overline{n}\}$, $T(q, j+1) \in \{n, 0\}$ for some j.

The set $\mathbf{KN}_{(1)}^{\mathfrak{b}_n}$ has a $\mathfrak{b}_n$-crystal structure such that $\mathbf{KN}_{(1)}^{\mathfrak{b}_n} \cong B(\omega_1)$, where

$$\boxed{1} \xrightarrow{1} \boxed{2} \xrightarrow{2} \cdots \xrightarrow{n-1} \boxed{n} \xrightarrow{n} \boxed{0} \xrightarrow{n} \boxed{\overline{n}} \xrightarrow{n-1} \cdots \xrightarrow{2} \boxed{\overline{2}} \xrightarrow{1} \boxed{\overline{1}}$$

with $\mathrm{wt}(\boxed{i}) = \epsilon_i$, $\mathrm{wt}(\boxed{\overline{i}}) = -\epsilon_i$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, and $\mathrm{wt}(0) = 0$. As in $\mathbf{KN}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{c}_n}$, one can define $\widetilde{e}_i$ and $\widetilde{f}_i$ on $\mathbf{KN}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{b}_n}$ for $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}_n$ and $i \in I$. Then $\mathbf{KN}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{b}_n}$ is a $\mathfrak{b}_n$-crystal with respect to $\widetilde{e}_i$ and $\widetilde{f}_i$ for $i \in I$, and

$$\mathbf{KN}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{b}_n} \cong B(\omega_\lambda). \tag{2.3}$$

Definition 2.4. Let $\mathbf{KN}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ be the set of $\mathbb{J}_n^\times$-semistandard tableaux T of single-columned shape with height n and half width such that T does not contain a and $\overline{a}$ at the same time for any $1 \leqslant a \leqslant n$.

Let $\mathscr{P}_n^{\mathrm{sp}} = \{\, \mu + \sigma_n \,|\, \mu \in \mathscr{P}_n \,\}$, where $\sigma_n = (1/2, \ldots, 1/2)$ (n times). For $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}_n^{\mathrm{sp}}$ with $\lambda = \mu + \sigma_n$, let $\mathbf{KN}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{b}_n}$ to be the set of $\mathbb{J}_n^\times$-semistandard tableaux such that

1. the first column of T (from the left) is a tableau in $\mathbf{KN}^{\mathrm{sp}}$,
2. the subtableau consisting of the other columns is a tableau in $\mathbf{KN}_\mu^{\mathfrak{b}_n}$,
3. the first two columns of T (from the right) satisfy ($\mathfrak{b}$-2)-($\mathfrak{b}$-4).

For $T \in \mathbf{KN}^{\mathrm{sp}}$, put $\mathrm{wt}(T) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i(\epsilon_i/2)$, where $c_i = 1$ (resp. $c_i = -1$) if T has i (resp. $\overline{i}$). For $i \in I$, define $\widetilde{e}_i T$ to be the unique tableau in $\mathbf{KN}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ such that $\mathrm{wt}(\widetilde{e}_i T) = s_i \mathrm{wt}(T)$ if $c_i = -1$, and $\mathbf{0}$ if $c_i = 1$. Then $\mathbf{KN}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ is a crystal isomorphic

to $B(\omega_n)$. For $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}_n^{\mathrm{sp}}$ with $\lambda = \mu + \sigma_n$, we define a crystal structure on $\mathbf{KN}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{b}_n}$ by considering $\mathbf{KN}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{b}_n} \subset \mathbf{KN}^{\mathrm{sp}} \otimes \mathbf{KN}_\mu^{\mathfrak{b}_n}$. Then we have

$$\mathbf{KN}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{b}_n} \cong B(\omega_\lambda), \tag{2.4}$$

where $\omega_\lambda = \omega_\mu + \omega_n$. For $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}_n \cup \mathscr{P}_n^{\mathrm{sp}}$, we call $\mathbf{KN}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{b}_n}$ the set of *KN tableaux of type B_n of shape λ*.

3. Spinor model for classical crystals

In this section, we recall the spinor model of classical crystals [19, 20] and then construct an isomorphism Ψ_λ from the crystal of KN tableaux to the spinor model.

3.1. **Combinatorics of two-columned skew tableaux.** For a single-columned tableau U, let us denote by $\mathrm{ht}(U)$ the height of U, and by $U(i)$ the ith entry of U from the bottom for $i \geqslant 1$.

For $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, let $\lambda(a,b,c) = (2^{b+c}, 1^a)/(1^b)$, which is a skew Young diagram of two-columned shape. Let T be a tableau of shape $\lambda(a,b,c)$. We denote by $T^{\mathtt{L}}$ and $T^{\mathtt{R}}$ the left and right columns of T, respectively. We also denote by $T^{\mathtt{tail}}$ the subtableau of T corresponding to the tail of $\lambda(a,b,c)$, a lower single column of height a, and by $T^{\mathtt{body}}$ the subtableau of T above $T^{\mathtt{tail}}$. For example,

$$T = \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline & 1 \\ \hline 2 & 4 \\ \hline 4 & 6 \\ \hline 5 & 8 \\ \hline 6 \\ \cline{1-1} 7 \\ \cline{1-1}\end{array} \in SST_{[8]}(\lambda(2,1,3))$$

$$T^{\mathtt{L}} = \begin{array}{|c|}\hline 2 \\ \hline 4 \\ \hline 5 \\ \hline 6 \\ \hline 7 \\ \hline\end{array} \qquad T^{\mathtt{R}} = \begin{array}{|c|}\hline 1 \\ \hline 4 \\ \hline 6 \\ \hline 8 \\ \hline\end{array} \qquad T^{\mathtt{body}} = \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline & 1 \\ \hline 2 & 4 \\ \hline 4 & 6 \\ \hline 5 & 8 \\ \hline\end{array} \qquad T^{\mathtt{tail}} = \begin{array}{|c|}\hline 6 \\ \hline 7 \\ \hline\end{array}$$

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a linearly ordered set. Let $T \in SST_{\mathcal{A}}(\lambda(a,b,c))$ be given. One may slide down $T^{\mathtt{R}}$ by k positions for $0 \leqslant k \leqslant \min\{a,b\}$ to have a (not necessarily semistandard) tableau T' of shape $\lambda(a-k, b-k, c+k)$. We define $\mathfrak{r}_T$ to be the maximal k such that T' is semistandard. Note that $\mathfrak{r}_T = 0$ when $a = 0$ or $b = 0$.

Definition 3.1. For $T \in SST_{\mathcal{A}}(\lambda(a,b,c))$ with $\mathfrak{r}_T = 0$, we define

(1) $\mathcal{E}T$ to be tableau in $SST_{\mathcal{A}}(\lambda(a-1, b+1, c))$ obtained from T by applying jeu de taquin sliding to the position below the bottom of $T^{\mathtt{R}}$, when $a > 0$,

(2) $\mathcal{F}T$ to be tableau in $SST_{\mathcal{A}}(\lambda(a+1,b-1,c))$ obtained from T by applying jeu de taquin sliding to the position above the top of $T^{\mathtt{L}}$, when $b>0$.

We assume that $\mathcal{E}T=\mathbf{0}$ when $a=0$, and $\mathcal{F}T=\mathbf{0}$ when $b=0$. Here $\mathbf{0}$ is a formal symbol. By definition one can check the following (cf.[22]).

Lemma 3.2. *Under the above hypothesis,*

(1) $\mathfrak{r}_{\mathcal{E}T}=0$ *and* $\mathfrak{r}_{\mathcal{F}T}=0$ *whenever* $\mathcal{E}T$ *and* $\mathcal{F}T$ *are defined*
(2) $\{\,\mathcal{E}^kT\,|\,0\leqslant k\leqslant a\,\}\cup\{\,\mathcal{F}^lT\,|\,0\leqslant l\leqslant b\,\}$ *forms a regular* $\mathfrak{sl}_2$*-crystal with respect to* $\mathcal{E}$ *and* $\mathcal{F}$*.*

Example 3.3.



We define $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ on a pair of single columned tableaux in general. For a pair $(U,V)\in SST_{\mathcal{A}}(1^u)\times SST_{\mathcal{A}}(1^v)$ $(u,v\geqslant 0)$, let T be a unique tableau in $SST_{\mathcal{A}}(\lambda(u-k,v-k,k))$ for some $k\in\mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $(T^{\mathtt{L}},T^{\mathtt{R}})=(U,V)$ and $\mathfrak{r}_T=0$. Then we define

$$\mathcal{X}(U,V)=\begin{cases}((\mathcal{X}T)^{\mathtt{L}},(\mathcal{X}T)^{\mathtt{R}}), & \text{if } \mathcal{X}T\neq\mathbf{0},\\ \mathbf{0}, & \text{if } \mathcal{X}T=\mathbf{0},\end{cases}\qquad(\mathcal{X}=\mathcal{E},\mathcal{F}),\tag{3.1}$$

where $\mathcal{X}T$ is defined in Definition 3.1. In particular, when U is empty, we have $\mathcal{F}^k(U,V)=(U_k,V_k)$ for $0\leqslant k\leqslant \mathrm{ht}(V)$, where U_k is the subtableau of V consisting of the first k entries from the bottom and V_k is the complement of U_k in V.

The above $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-crystal structure will be used in Section 5.

3.2. **Spinor model for classical crystals.** In this section, we review another combinatorial model of $B(\lambda)$ in types B and C introduced in [19]. We will follow the convention in [18], where the definition of this model is slightly different from [19].

For $0\leqslant a<n$, let

$$\mathbf{T}^{\mathfrak{g}}(a)=\begin{cases}\{\,T\,|\,T\in SST_{[\overline{n}]}(\lambda(a,0,c)),\ c\in\mathbb{Z}_+\,\}, & \text{if } \mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{c}_n,\\ \{\,T\,|\,T\in SST_{[\overline{n}]}(\lambda(a,b,c)),\ (b,c)\in\mathbb{Z}_+\times\mathbb{Z}_+,\ \mathfrak{r}_T=0\,\}, & \text{if } \mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{b}_n,\end{cases}$$

and

$$\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}=\bigsqcup_{0\leqslant a\leqslant n}SST_{[\overline{n}]}((1^a)).$$

Suppose that $\mathbf{B}$ is either $\mathbf{T}^{\mathfrak{g}}(a)$ or $\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}$. First we regard $\mathbf{B}$ as an $\mathfrak{l}$-crystal since $[n]$ is the crystal of the natural representation of $\mathfrak{l}$, and $[\overline{n}]$ is its dual (cf.[14]). We denote by $\mathrm{wt}_{\mathfrak{l}}(T)$ the $\mathfrak{l}$-weight of $T \in \mathbf{B}$. Next we define $\widetilde{e}_n$ on $\mathbf{B}$ as follows ($\widetilde{f}_n$ is defined in a similar way):

(1) if $T \in \mathbf{T}^{\mathfrak{c}_n}(a)$, then $\widetilde{e}_n T$ is the tableau obtained from T by removing a domino $\boxed{\overline{n}}\boxed{\overline{n}}$ if T has $\boxed{\overline{n}}\boxed{\overline{n}}$ on its top, and $\mathbf{0}$, otherwise,
(2) if $T \in \mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}$, then $\widetilde{e}_n T$ is the tableau obtained from T by removing $\boxed{\overline{n}}$ if T has $\boxed{\overline{n}}$ on its top, and $\mathbf{0}$, otherwise,
(3) if $T \in \mathbf{T}^{\mathfrak{b}_n}(a)$, then $\widetilde{e}_n T$ is given by $\widetilde{e}_n(T^{\mathtt{R}} \otimes T^{\mathtt{L}})$ by the tensor product rule of crystals regarding $\mathbf{T}^{\mathfrak{b}_n}(a) \subset (\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}})^{\otimes 2}$.

Then $\mathbf{B}$ is a $\mathfrak{g}$-crystal with respect to $\widetilde{e}_i$, $\widetilde{f}_i$ for $i \in I$ and

$$\mathrm{wt}(T) = \begin{cases} 2\omega_n + \mathrm{wt}_{\mathfrak{l}}(T), & \text{if } T \in \mathbf{T}^{\mathfrak{b}_n}(a), \\ \omega_n + \mathrm{wt}_{\mathfrak{l}}(T), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By [19, Theorem 7.1] we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{T}^{\mathfrak{c}_n}(a) \cong B(\omega_{n-a}) \quad (0 \leqslant a < n), \\ &\mathbf{T}^{\mathfrak{b}_n}(a) \cong B(\omega_{n-a}) \quad (1 \leqslant a < n), \quad \mathbf{T}^{\mathfrak{b}_n}(0) \cong B(2\omega_n), \quad \mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}} \cong B(\omega_n). \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Note that the highest weight element in $\mathbf{T}^{\mathfrak{g}}(a)$ is given by $H_a \in SST_{[\overline{n}]}(\lambda(a,0,0))$ (with empty body) where $H_a(i) = \overline{n-a+i}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant a$, while the highest weight element H_{sp} in $\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ is an empty tableau.

Suppose that $T \in \mathbf{T}^{\mathfrak{g}}(a)$ $(0 \leqslant a < n)$ is given. We define

$$ {}^{\mathtt{L}}T = (\mathcal{E}^a T)^{\mathtt{L}}, \quad {}^{\mathtt{R}}T = (\mathcal{E}^a T)^{\mathtt{R}} \tag{3.3}$$

Example 3.4.

$$\mathbf{T}^{\mathfrak{b}_9}(3) \ni T = \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline \overline{9} \\ \hline \overline{8} & \overline{7} \\ \hline \overline{6} & \overline{2} \\ \hline \overline{4} & \cdot \\ \hline \overline{2} \\ \hline \overline{1} \\ \hline \end{array} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline \overline{9} \\ \hline \overline{7} \\ \hline \overline{8} & \overline{6} \\ \hline \overline{4} & \overline{2} \\ \hline \overline{2} & \cdot \\ \hline \overline{1} \\ \hline \end{array} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline \overline{9} \\ \hline \overline{7} \\ \hline \overline{6} \\ \hline \overline{8} & \overline{4} \\ \hline \overline{2} & \overline{2} \\ \hline \overline{1} & \cdot \\ \hline \end{array} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline \overline{9} \\ \hline \overline{7} \\ \hline \overline{6} \\ \hline \overline{4} \\ \hline \overline{8} & \overline{2} \\ \hline \overline{2} & \overline{1} \\ \hline \end{array} = \mathcal{E}^3 T$$

$$T^{\mathtt{L}} \quad T^{\mathtt{R}} \qquad\qquad {}^{\mathtt{L}}T \quad {}^{\mathtt{R}}T$$

Remark 3.5. One can also determine $({}^{\mathtt{L}}T, {}^{\mathtt{R}}T)$ by the following algorithm [20, (3.6)], which will be useful in later arguments:

($\mathfrak{s}$-1) Let $y_i = T^{\mathtt{R}}(i)$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant \mathrm{ht}(T^{\mathtt{R}})$. First, slide down the box $\boxed{y_1}$ in $T^{\mathtt{R}}$ as far as the entry of $T^{\mathtt{L}}$ in the same row is no greater than y_1. If no entry of $T^{\mathtt{L}}$ is greater than y, we place $\boxed{y_1}$ next to $T^{\mathtt{L}}(1)$.

($\mathfrak{s}$-2) Next, slide down $\boxed{y_2}$ until it is above $\boxed{y_1}$ and the entry of $T^{\mathtt{L}}$ in the same row is no greater than y_2. Repeat the same process with the other boxes $\boxed{y_3}, \boxed{y_4}, \dots$ until there is no moving down.

($\mathfrak{s}$-3) Slide each box $\boxed{x}$ in $T^{\mathtt{L}}$ to the right if its right position is empty (indeed the number of such boxes is a).

($\mathfrak{s}$-4) Define ${}^{\mathtt{R}}T$ to be the tableau determined by the boxes $\boxed{y_i}$'s in $T^{\mathtt{R}}$ together with boxes $\boxed{x}$'s which have moved from $T^{\mathtt{L}}$ by ($\mathfrak{s}$-3), and define ${}^{\mathtt{L}}T$ to be the tableau with the remaining boxes on the left.

For example, let T be as in Example 3.4.



$T^{\mathtt{L}}\ T^{\mathtt{R}}$ ${}^{\mathtt{L}}T\ {}^{\mathtt{R}}T$

Here the bottoms of the columns in each pair of $(T^{\mathtt{L}}, {}^{\mathtt{R}}T)$ and $({}^{\mathtt{L}}T, T^{\mathtt{R}})$ are placed on the same horizontal lines, respectively.

Definition 3.6.

(1) For $0 \leqslant a_1 \leqslant a_2 < n$, we say that a pair $(T_2, T_1) \in \mathbf{T}^{\mathfrak{g}}(a_2) \times \mathbf{T}^{\mathfrak{g}}(a_1)$ or $\mathbf{T}^{\mathfrak{g}}(a_2) \times \mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ is admissible, and write $T_2 \prec T_1$ if it satisfies
   (i) $\mathrm{ht}(T_2^{\mathtt{R}}) \leqslant \mathrm{ht}(T_1^{\mathtt{L}}) - a_1$,
   (ii) $T_2^{\mathtt{R}}(i) \leqslant {}^{\mathtt{L}}T_1(i)$ for $i \geqslant 1$,
   (iii) ${}^{\mathtt{R}}T_2(i + a_2 - a_1) \leqslant T_1^{\mathtt{L}}(i)$ for $i \geqslant 1$,
   where we assume that $a_1 = 0$, $T_1 = T_1^{\mathtt{L}} = {}^{\mathtt{L}}T_1$ if $T_1 \in \mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}$.

(2) For $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}_n \cup \mathscr{P}_n^{\mathrm{sp}}$, we define

$$\mathbf{T}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{g}} = \begin{cases} \{\, \mathbf{T} = (T_\ell \dots, T_1) \in \widehat{\mathbf{T}}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{g}} \mid T_\ell \prec \cdots \prec T_1 \,\}, & \text{if } \lambda \in \mathscr{P}_n, \\ \{\, \mathbf{T} = (T_\ell \dots, T_1, T_0) \in \widehat{\mathbf{T}}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{b}_n} \mid T_\ell \prec \cdots \prec T_0 \,\}, & \text{if } \lambda \in \mathscr{P}_n^{\mathrm{sp}}, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\widehat{\mathbf{T}}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{g}} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{T}^{\mathfrak{g}}(a_\ell) \times \cdots \times \mathbf{T}^{\mathfrak{g}}(a_1), & \text{if } \lambda \in \mathscr{P}_n, \\ \mathbf{T}^{\mathfrak{b}_n}(a_\ell) \times \cdots \times \mathbf{T}^{\mathfrak{b}_n}(a_1) \times \mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}, & \text{if } \lambda \in \mathscr{P}_n^{\mathrm{sp}}, \end{cases}$$

and $a_\ell \geqslant \ldots \geqslant a_1$ is the sequence satisfying

$$\omega_\lambda = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \omega_{n-a_i}, & \text{if } \lambda \in \mathscr{P}_n, \\ \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \omega_{n-a_i} + \omega_n, & \text{if } \lambda \in \mathscr{P}_n^{\mathrm{sp}}. \end{cases}$$

Remark 3.7. When we consider the admissibility of a pair (T_2, T_1), it is convenient to assume that $T_i^{\mathtt{body}}$ and $T_i^{\mathtt{tail}}$ for $i = 1, 2$ are separated by a common horizontal line so that the vertical positions of the other column tableaux ${}^{\mathtt{L}}T_i$, ${}^{\mathtt{R}}T_i$ for $i = 1, 2$ are determined accordingly (see the dashed lines in Example 3.8). Then the condition (1)(ii) and (1)(iii) are equivalent to saying that $(T_2^{\mathtt{R}}, {}^{\mathtt{L}}T_1)$ and $({}^{\mathtt{R}}T_2, T_1^{\mathtt{L}})$ form semistandard tableaux with respect to this vertical position, respectively.

Example 3.8. For $T_2 \in \mathbf{T}^{\mathfrak{c}_7}(2)$ and $T_1 \in \mathbf{T}^{\mathfrak{c}_7}(1)$ below, we have



Then $({}^{\mathtt{R}}T_2, T_1^{\mathtt{L}})$ (in blue) and $(T_2^{\mathtt{R}}, {}^{\mathtt{L}}T_1)$ (in red) form semistandard tableaux



which implies that $T_2 \prec T_1$, and hence $(T_2, T_1) \in \mathbf{T}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{c}_7}$, where $\lambda = (2^5, 1)$.

One may define a $\mathfrak{g}$-crystal structure on $\widehat{\mathbf{T}}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{g}}$ by identifying $(\dots, T_2, T_1)$ with $T_1 \otimes T_2 \otimes \cdots$. Then $\mathbf{T}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{g}} \cup \{\mathbf{0}\}$ is invariant under $\widetilde{e}_i, \widetilde{f}_i$ for $i \in I$, and $\mathbf{T}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{g}}$ becomes a $\mathfrak{g}$-crystal. The following is proved in [19, Theorem 7.4].

Theorem 3.9. *For $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}_n \cup \mathscr{P}_n^{\mathrm{sp}}$, we have*

$$\mathbf{T}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{g}} \cong B(\omega_\lambda).$$

Note that the highest weight element in $\mathbf{T}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{g}}$ is given by

$$\begin{cases} (H_{a_\ell}, \cdots, H_{a_1}), & \text{if } \lambda \in \mathscr{P}_n, \\ (H_{a_\ell}, \cdots, H_{a_1}, H_{\mathrm{sp}}), & \text{if } \lambda \in \mathscr{P}_n^{\mathrm{sp}}, \end{cases} \tag{3.4}$$

where $(a_1, \dots, a_\ell)$ is the sequence given in Definition 3.6(2), and H_a (resp. H_{sp}) is the highest weight element in $\mathbf{T}^{\mathfrak{g}}(a)$ (resp. $\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}$).

3.3. **Isomorphism between KN tableaux and spinor model.** In this subsection, we construct an explicit isomorphism from $\mathbf{KN}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\lambda}$ to $\mathbf{T}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\lambda}$ for $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}_n \cup \mathscr{P}^{\mathrm{sp}}_n$, which was briefly mentioned in [19, Section 7.2] without proof.

3.3.1. *Type C_n.* For $0 \leqslant a < n$ and $T \in \mathbf{T}^{\mathfrak{c}_n}(a)$, let $\widetilde{T}$ be the tableau in $SST_{\mathbb{J}_n^\times}(1^{n-a})$ defined as follows:

(1) Let $\widetilde{{}^{\mathtt{R}}T}$ be the tableau in $SST_{[n]}(1^m)$ with $m = n - \mathrm{ht}({}^{\mathtt{R}}T)$ such that k appears in $\widetilde{{}^{\mathtt{R}}T}$ if and only if $\overline{k}$ does not appear in ${}^{\mathtt{R}}T$ for each $k \in [n]$.
(2) Define $\widetilde{T}$ to be the tableau in $SST_{\mathbb{J}_n^\times}(1^{n-a})$ given by gluing ${}^{\mathtt{L}}T$ below $\widetilde{{}^{\mathtt{R}}T}$.

Example 3.10.

$$\mathbf{T}^{\mathfrak{c}_5}(1) \ni T = \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline \overline{5} & \overline{4} \\ \hline \overline{3} & \overline{2} \\ \hline \overline{2} \\ \cline{1-1} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline \overline{5} & \overline{4} \\ \hline \overline{2} & \overline{3} \\ \hline \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{|c|}\hline \overline{2} \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$T^{\mathtt{L}}\ T^{\mathtt{R}} \qquad {}^{\mathtt{L}}T\ {}^{\mathtt{R}}T$$

$$\widetilde{{}^{\mathtt{R}}T} = \begin{array}{|c|}\hline 1 \\ \hline 5 \\ \hline \end{array} \qquad \widetilde{T} = \begin{array}{|c|}\hline 1 \\ \hline 5 \\ \hline \overline{5} \\ \hline \overline{2} \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Lemma 3.11. *The map $T \longmapsto \widetilde{T}$ gives an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{c}_n$-crystals from $\mathbf{T}^{\mathfrak{c}_n}(a)$ to $\mathbf{KN}^{\mathfrak{c}_n}_{(1^{n-a})}$ for $0 \leqslant a < n$.*

Proof. By definition, it is clear that the map $T \longmapsto \widetilde{T}$ is injective and preserves the weight. We first claim that $\widetilde{T} \in \mathbf{KN}^{\mathfrak{c}_n}_{(1^{n-a})}$. Note that $\widetilde{T} \in SST_{\mathbb{J}_n^\times}(1^{n-a})$. Suppose that $\widetilde{T} \notin \mathbf{KN}^{\mathfrak{c}_n}_{(1^{n-a})}$. By ($\mathfrak{c}$-1) in Definition 2.1, there exists $c \in [n]$ such $\widetilde{T}(i_1) = \overline{c}$ and $\widetilde{T}(i_2) = c$ for some $i_1 < i_2$, and $i_1 + (n-a-i_2+1) > c$. Put $x = n-a-i_2+1$ and $y = i_1$. Then x is the number of entries in $\widetilde{T}$ smaller than c, and y is the number of entries in $\widetilde{T}$ or ${}^{\mathtt{L}}T$ greater than or equal to $\overline{c}$. Note that there is no $\overline{c}$ in ${}^{\mathtt{R}}T$, and the number of entries in ${}^{\mathtt{R}}T$ greater than $\overline{c}$ is $c - x$. On the other hand, the pair $({}^{\mathtt{L}}T, {}^{\mathtt{R}}T)$ is obtained from T by applying the steps ($\mathfrak{s}$-1)-($\mathfrak{s}$-4) in Section 3.2, and it is reversible. But if $y > c - x$, then the inverse steps from $({}^{\mathtt{L}}T, {}^{\mathtt{R}}T)$ to T is not well-defined, which is a contradiction. This proves our claim. In particular, it implies that the map is a bijection from $\mathbf{T}^{\mathfrak{c}_n}(a)$ to $\mathbf{KN}^{\mathfrak{c}_n}_{(1^{n-a})}$ since both of them have the same cardinality as $B(\omega_{n-a})$.

Next we claim that the map $T \longmapsto \widetilde{T}$ commutes with $\widetilde{e}_i$ and $\widetilde{f}_i$ for $i \in I$. Since $\mathcal{E}^a T$ is Knuth equivalent to T, we have $T \equiv_{\mathfrak{l}} {}^{\mathtt{R}}T \otimes {}^{\mathtt{L}}T$, where $\equiv_{\mathfrak{l}}$ means $\equiv$ as elements in $\mathfrak{l}$-crystals. Also we have ${}^{\mathtt{R}}T \equiv_{\mathfrak{l}} \widetilde{{}^{\mathtt{R}}T}$ and $\widetilde{T} \equiv_{\mathfrak{l}} \widetilde{{}^{\mathtt{R}}T} \otimes {}^{\mathtt{L}}T$. Hence $T \equiv_{\mathfrak{l}} \widetilde{T}$ and the map $T \longmapsto \widetilde{T}$ commutes with $\widetilde{e}_i$ and $\widetilde{f}_i$ for $i \in I \backslash \{n\}$. Now, consider $\widetilde{e}_n$ and $\widetilde{f}_n$. It is not

difficult to see that

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{e}_n T \neq \mathbf{0} &\Longleftrightarrow \ T \text{ has } \boxed{\overline{n}}\boxed{\overline{n}} \text{ on its top}\\ &\Longleftrightarrow {}^{\mathtt{L}}T \text{ has } \overline{n} \text{ while } {}^{\mathtt{R}}\widetilde{T} \text{ does not have } n\\ &\Longleftrightarrow \widetilde{e}_n\widetilde{T} \neq \mathbf{0},\end{aligned}$$

and $\widetilde{T}' = \widetilde{e}_n\widetilde{T}$ for $T' = \widetilde{e}_n T$ in this case. The proof for $\widetilde{f}_n$ is similar. Therefore the map is an isomorphism of crystals. □

For $0 \leqslant a < n$ and $T \in \mathbf{KN}^{\mathfrak{c}_n}_{(1^a)}$, we define

$$\Psi_a(T) = \mathcal{F}^{n-a}(T_-, \widetilde{T_+}), \tag{3.5}$$

where T_+ (resp. T_-) is the subtableau of T with entries in $[n]$ (resp. $[\overline{n}]$), and $\widetilde{T_+} \in SST_{[\overline{n}]}(1^m)$ with $m = n - \mathrm{ht}(T_+)$ is such that $\overline{k}$ appears in $\widetilde{T_+}$ if and only if k does not appear in T_+ for $k \in [n]$. Here we regard $\Psi_a(T)$ as a two-columned skew tableau with $\mathfrak{r}_{\Psi_a(T)} = 0$.

Lemma 3.12. *The map Ψ_a is an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{c}_n$-crystals from $\mathbf{KN}^{\mathfrak{c}_n}_{(1^a)}$ to $\mathbf{T}^{\mathfrak{c}_n}(n-a)$ for $0 \leqslant a < n$.*

Proof. By (3.3), we see immediately that Ψ_a is the inverse of the map in Lemma 3.11. □

Example 3.13.

$$\mathbf{KN}^{\mathfrak{c}_5}_{(1^4)} \ni T = \begin{array}{|c|}\hline 1\\\hline 5\\\hline \overline{5}\\\hline \overline{2}\\\hline\end{array} \qquad (T_-, \widetilde{T_+}) = \begin{array}{cc} & \overline{4}\\ \overline{5} & \overline{3}\\ \overline{2} & \overline{2}\end{array} \quad \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline \overline{5} & \overline{4}\\\hline \overline{3} & \overline{2}\\\hline \overline{2}\\\cline{1-1}\end{array} = \Psi_4(T) \in \mathbf{T}^{\mathfrak{c}_5}(1)$$

Theorem 3.14. *Let $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}_n$ with $\ell = \lambda_1$. The map*

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_\lambda : \mathbf{KN}^{\mathfrak{c}_n}_\lambda &\longrightarrow \mathbf{T}^{\mathfrak{c}_n}_\lambda\\ T &\longmapsto (\Psi_{\lambda'_\ell}(T_l), \ldots, \Psi_{\lambda'_1}(T_1))\end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{c}_n$-crystals, where T_i denotes the ith column of T from the right.

Proof. Note that $\Psi_\lambda(T) \in \widehat{\mathbf{T}}^{\mathfrak{c}_n}_\lambda$ for $T \in \mathbf{KN}^{\mathfrak{c}_n}_\lambda$. It is clear from the crystal structures on $\mathbf{KN}^{\mathfrak{c}_n}_\lambda$ and $\widehat{\mathbf{T}}^{\mathfrak{c}_n}_\lambda$, and Lemma 3.12 that $\Psi_\lambda : \mathbf{KN}^{\mathfrak{c}_n}_\lambda \longrightarrow \mathrm{Im}\Psi_\lambda \subset \widehat{\mathbf{T}}^{\mathfrak{c}_n}_\lambda$ is an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{c}_n$-crystals. Recall that if H is the highest weight element in $\mathbf{KN}^{\mathfrak{c}}_\lambda$, then the ith entry from the top in each column of H is filled with i for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. So one can check that H is mapped to the highest weight element in $\mathbf{T}^{\mathfrak{c}_n}_\lambda$ (3.4) under Ψ_λ. So $\mathrm{Im}\Psi_\lambda$ is the connected component of the highest weight element of $\mathbf{T}^{\mathfrak{c}_n}_\lambda$. This implies that $\mathrm{Im}\Psi_\lambda = \mathbf{T}^{\mathfrak{c}_n}_\lambda$. □

Example 3.15. Let

$$T = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}\hline \multicolumn{1}{c}{} & \multicolumn{1}{c}{} & 1 & 4 \\ \cline{3-4} \multicolumn{1}{c}{} & 3 & 5 & \overline{4} \\ \cline{2-4} 5 & \overline{5} & \overline{5} & \overline{2} \\ \cline{1-4} \overline{3} & \overline{2} & \overline{2} & \overline{1} \\ \cline{1-4}\end{array} \in \mathbf{KN}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{c}_5},$$

where $\lambda = (4,4,3,2,0) \in \mathscr{P}_5$. Then $\Psi_\lambda(T) = \mathbf{T} = (\Psi_2(T_4), \Psi_3(T_3), \Psi_4(T_2), \Psi_4(T_1)) \in \mathbf{T}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{c}_5}$ by (3.5), where



$T_4 \qquad T_3 \qquad T_2 \qquad T_1$



$\Psi_2(T_4) \quad \Psi_3(T_3) \quad \Psi_4(T_2) \quad \Psi_4(T_1)$

3.3.2. *Type B_n.* As in the case of C_n, we first construct an explicit isomorphism from $\mathbf{KN}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{b}_n}$ to $\mathbf{T}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{b}_n}$ when ω_λ is a fundamental weight.

For $0 \leqslant a < n$ and $T = (T^{\mathtt{L}}, T^{\mathtt{R}}) \in \mathbf{T}^{\mathfrak{b}_n}(a)$, let $\widetilde{T}$ be the tableau in $SST_{\mathbb{J}_n}(1^{n-a})$ defined as follows:

(1) Let $\widetilde{{}^{\mathtt{R}}T}$ be the tableau in $SST_{[n]}(1^m)$ with $m = n - \mathrm{ht}({}^{\mathtt{R}}T)$ defined in the same way as in the case of C_n.
(2) Define $\widetilde{T}$ to be the tableau in $SST_{\mathbb{J}_n}(1^{n-a})$ given by gluing below $\widetilde{{}^{\mathtt{R}}T}$ a single-columned tableau of height $a + \mathrm{ht}(T^{\mathtt{R}}) - \mathrm{ht}(T^{\mathtt{L}})$ with 0's and then ${}^{\mathtt{L}}T$.

If $T \in \mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}$, then define $\widetilde{T}$ to be the unique tableau in $\mathbf{KN}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ such that $\overline{k}$ appears in T if and only if $\overline{k}$ appears in $\widetilde{T}$.

Example 3.16.

$$\mathbf{T}^{\mathfrak{b}_5}(1) \ni T = \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline \overline{5} & \\ \hline \overline{4} & \\ \hline \overline{3} & \overline{1} \\ \hline \overline{1} & \\ \hline \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline \overline{5} & \\ \hline \overline{4} & \\ \hline \overline{1} & \overline{3} \\ \hline \overline{1} & \\ \hline \end{array} \qquad {}^{\mathtt{R}}\widetilde{T} = \boxed{2} \qquad \widetilde{T} = \begin{array}{|c|}\hline 2 \\ \hline 0 \\ \hline 0 \\ \hline \overline{1} \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$T^{\mathtt{L}}\ T^{\mathtt{R}} \qquad {}^{\mathtt{L}}T\ {}^{\mathtt{R}}T$$

$$\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}} \ni T = \begin{array}{|c|}\hline \overline{5} \\ \hline \overline{4} \\ \hline \overline{2} \\ \hline \overline{1} \\ \hline \end{array} \qquad \widetilde{T} = \begin{array}{|c|}\hline 3 \\ \hline \overline{5} \\ \hline \overline{4} \\ \hline \overline{2} \\ \hline \overline{1} \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Lemma 3.17. *The map $T \longmapsto \widetilde{T}$ gives an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{b}_n$-crystals from $\mathbf{T}^{\mathfrak{b}_n}(a)$ to $\mathbf{KN}^{\mathfrak{b}_n}_{(1^{n-a})}$ for $0 \leqslant a < n$, and from $\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ to $\mathbf{KN}^{\mathrm{sp}}$, respectively.*

Proof. The proof is almost the same as that of Lemma 3.11. □

For $0 \leqslant a < n$ and $T \in \mathbf{KN}^{\mathfrak{c}_n}_{(1^a)}$ and $T' \in \mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}$, we define

$$\Psi_a(T) = \mathcal{F}^{n-a}(T_-, \widetilde{T_+}), \quad \Psi_{\mathrm{sp}}(T') = T'_-, \tag{3.6}$$

where $T_\pm$, $\widetilde{T_+}$ are as in (3.5). As in Lemma 3.12, we see that (3.6) is the inverse of the isomorphism in Lemma 3.17. Hence we have

Lemma 3.18. *The map Ψ_a and Ψ_{sp} are isomorphisms of $\mathfrak{b}_n$-crystals from $\mathbf{KN}^{\mathfrak{b}_n}_{(1^a)}$ to $\mathbf{T}^{\mathfrak{b}_n}(n-a)$ for $0 \leqslant a < n$, and from $\mathbf{KN}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ to $\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}$, respectively.*

Theorem 3.19. *Let $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}_n \cup \mathscr{P}^{\mathrm{sp}}_n$. The map*

$$\Psi_\lambda : \mathbf{KN}^{\mathfrak{b}_n}_\lambda \longrightarrow \mathbf{T}^{\mathfrak{b}_n}_\lambda$$

given by

$$\Psi_\lambda(T) = \begin{cases} (\Psi_{\lambda'_\ell}(T_\ell), \dots, \Psi_{\lambda'_1}(T_1)), & \text{if } \lambda \in \mathscr{P}_n \text{ with } \ell = \lambda_1, \\ (\Psi_{\mu'_\ell}(T_\ell), \dots, \Psi_{\mu'_1}(T_1), \Psi_{\mathrm{sp}}(T_0)), & \text{if } \lambda = \mu + \sigma_n \in \mathscr{P}^{\mathrm{sp}}_n \text{ with } \ell = \mu_1, \end{cases}$$

is an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{b}_n$-crystals, where T_i denotes the ith column of T with width 1 from the right and T_0 denotes the column of T with half width.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3.18 and the same arguments as in Theorem 3.14. □

4. Crystal of a maximal parabolic Verma module

In this section, we recall a combinatorial model for the crystal of a maximal parabolic Verma module [17] and then construct its embedding Φ_λ into the crystal of the $\mathbf{i}$-Lusztig data.

From now on ϵ denotes a constant such that $\epsilon = 1$ for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{b}_n$ and $\epsilon = 2$ for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{c}_n$.

4.1. **Crystal of a maximal parabolic Verma module.** Let

$$\mathscr{P}_\mathfrak{g} = \{\, \epsilon\lambda = (\epsilon\lambda_i)_{i\geqslant 1} \,|\, \lambda = (\lambda_i)_{i\geqslant 1} \in \mathscr{P} \,\}.$$

Put

$$\mathbf{V}^\mathfrak{g} = \bigsqcup_{\tau\in\mathscr{P}_\mathfrak{g}} SST_{[\overline{n}]}(\tau^\pi), \quad \mathbf{V}^\mathfrak{g}_k = \bigsqcup_{\substack{\tau\in\mathscr{P}_\mathfrak{g}\\ \tau_1\leqslant\epsilon k}} SST_{[\overline{n}]}(\tau^\pi) \quad (k \geqslant 1).$$

Let $T \in \mathbf{V}^\mathfrak{g}_k$ be given. When $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{c}_n$, let T_i be the subtableau of T consisting of the $(2i-1)$th and the $2i$-th columns of T from the right for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$. When $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{b}_n$, let T_i be the i-th column of T from the right. Note that $T_i \in \mathbf{B}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$, where $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{T}^\mathfrak{g}(0)$ and $\mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}$ for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{c}_n$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{b}_n$ respectively.

We define a $\mathfrak{g}$-crystal structure on $\mathbf{V}^\mathfrak{g}_k$ by identifying $T \in \mathbf{V}^\mathfrak{g}_k$ with $T_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes T_k \otimes t_{-k\omega_n} \in \mathbf{B}^{\otimes k} \otimes T_{-k\omega_n}$. Then the inclusion $\mathbf{V}^\mathfrak{g}_k \longrightarrow \mathbf{V}^\mathfrak{g}_{k+1}$ is an embedding of $\mathfrak{g}$-crystals, and this indices a $\mathfrak{g}$-crystal structure on $\mathbf{V}^\mathfrak{g}$ since $\bigcup_{k\geqslant 1} \mathbf{V}^\mathfrak{g}_k = \mathbf{V}^\mathfrak{g}$. Moreover, we have

$$\mathbf{V}^\mathfrak{g} = \{\, \widetilde{f}_{i_1} \cdots \widetilde{f}_{i_r} \varnothing \,|\, r \geqslant 0,\, i_1, \ldots, i_r \in I \,\},$$

where $\varnothing$ is the empty tableau with $\mathrm{wt}(\varnothing) = 0$ (see [17, Section 3.1]).

Remark 4.1. Let $T \in \mathbf{V}^\mathfrak{g}$ be given. Then $T \in \mathbf{V}^\mathfrak{g}_k$ for some $k \geqslant 1$, and $\widetilde{e}_n T$ is given by removing $\boxed{\overline{n}}\boxed{\overline{n}}$ (resp. $\boxed{\overline{n}}$) when $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{c}_n$ (resp. $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{b}_n$) on top of a column in T following tensor product rule of crystals in $\mathbf{B}^{\otimes k}$. Note that $\widetilde{e}_n T$ is uniquely determined for all sufficiently large k, and $\widetilde{f}_n T$ can be described in a similar way. For example,

$$\widetilde{e}_5 \left(\begin{array}{ccccc} & & & & \overline{5} \\ & & & \overline{5} & \overline{3} & \overline{3} \\ \overline{5} & \overline{4} & \overline{3} & \overline{2} & \overline{1} \end{array} \right) \;=\; \begin{array}{ccccc} & & & \overline{5} & \overline{3} & \overline{3} \\ \overline{5} & \overline{4} & \overline{3} & \overline{2} & \overline{1} \end{array} \;\in \mathbf{V}^{\mathfrak{b}_5}.$$

Definition 4.2. For $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}_n \cup \mathscr{P}_n^{\mathrm{sp}}$, let

$$\mathbf{V}^\mathfrak{g}_\lambda = SST_{[\overline{n}]}(\nu) \times \mathbf{V}^\mathfrak{g},$$

where $\nu = (a_\ell, \ldots, a_1)'$ for the partition $(a_\ell, \ldots, a_1)$ given in Definition 3.6(2).

Let us regard $SST_{[\overline{n}]}(\nu)$ as $\mathfrak{g}$-crystals, where the $\mathfrak{l}$-crystal structure is defined as usual and $\varphi_n(T) = -\infty$ for all T. Then we define a $\mathfrak{g}$-crystal structure on $\mathbf{V}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\lambda}$ by identifying $(V_2, V_1) \in \mathbf{V}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\lambda}$ with $V_1 \otimes V_2$. Let H_ν be the highest weight element in $SST_{[\overline{n}]}(\nu)$ as an $\mathfrak{l}$-crystal. Then $(H_\nu, \varnothing)$ is the highest weight element in $\mathbf{V}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\lambda}$, and

$$\mathbf{V}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\lambda} = \{\, \widetilde{f}_{i_1} \cdots \widetilde{f}_{i_r}(H_\nu, \varnothing) \,|\, r \geqslant 0,\, i_1, \ldots, i_r \in I \,\},$$

[17, Proposition 3.5]. The crystal $\mathbf{V}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\lambda}$ can be viewed as a crystal of a maximal parabolic Verma module in the following sense (see [17, Section 3.2] for more details).

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}_n \cup \mathscr{P}_n^{\mathrm{sp}}$. For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, there exists an embedding of $\mathfrak{g}$-crystals*

$$\theta_k : B(\omega_\lambda + k\omega_n) \otimes T_{-L\omega_n} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{V}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\lambda},$$

with $L = \langle \omega_\lambda + k\omega_n, h_n \rangle$ such that $\mathrm{Im}\theta_k \subset \mathrm{Im}\theta_{k+1}$ and $\mathbf{V}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\lambda} = \bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \mathrm{Im}\theta_k$.

Remark 4.4. An explicit characterization of $\mathrm{Im}\theta_k$ in $\mathbf{V}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\lambda}$ is also given in terms of a statistic Δ on $\mathbf{V}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\lambda}$, which is a combinatorial realization of ε_n^* [17, Definition 3.10, Theorem 3.11].

4.2. **Crystal structure on Lusztig data.**

4.2.1. *Type A_{2n-1}.* In this subsection, we consider the crystal of Lusztig data of type A_{2n-1} associated to a special family of reduced expressions, which will be used to describe the crystal of Lusztig data in types B_n and C_n.

Let us fix some notations. Let $\mathring{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{gl}_{2n}$ and $\mathring{I} = \{\, 1, 2, \ldots, 2n-1 \,\}$. We assume that $\mathring{P} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{2n} \mathbb{Z}\epsilon_i$ is the weight lattice, $\mathring{\Pi} = \{\, \alpha_i = \epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1} \,|\, i \in \mathring{I} \,\}$ is the set of simple roots, and $\mathring{W} = \langle\, s_i \,|\, i \in \mathring{I} \,\rangle$ is the Weyl group of $\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}$. Let $\mathring{w}_0$ denote the longest element of length $M = (2n-1)n$ in $\mathring{W}$. Let σ be the automorphism of the Dynkin diagram of type A_{2n-1} given by $\sigma(\alpha_i) = \alpha_{2n-i}$ for $i \in \mathring{I}$.

Given $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \ldots, i_M) \in R(\mathring{w}_0)$, let $\mathring{\mathbf{B}}_{\mathbf{i}} = \mathbb{Z}_+^M$ denote the crystal of $\mathbf{i}$-Lusztig data for $U_q^-(\mathring{\mathfrak{g}})$. Let

$$\beta_1 = \alpha_{i_1},\ \beta_2 = s_{i_1}(\alpha_{i_2}),\ \ldots,\ \beta_M = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{M-1}}(\alpha_{i_M}).$$

Given $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \ldots, c_M) \in \mathring{\mathbf{B}}_{\mathbf{i}}$ and $1 \leqslant k \leqslant M$, let us also write

$$c_k = c_{ij} \text{ if } \beta_k = \epsilon_i - \epsilon_j \text{ for some } 1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant 2n.$$

For $1 \leqslant r < s \leqslant 2n$, let $\mathbf{c}_{rs} = (c_{ij}) \in \mathring{\mathbf{B}}_{\mathbf{i}}$ be given by $c_{ij} = \delta_{ir}\delta_{js}$.

Let Ω be a Dynkin quiver of type A_{2n-1}. We call a vertex $i \in \mathring{I}$ a sink of Ω if there is no arrow going out of i. For $i \in \mathring{I}$, let $s_i\Omega$ be the quiver given by reversing the arrows which end or start at i. We say that $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \ldots, i_M) \in R(\mathring{w}_0)$ is adapted to Ω if i_1 is a sink of Ω, and i_k is a sink of $s_{i_{k-1}} \cdots s_{i_2} s_{i_1}\Omega$ for $2 \leqslant k \leqslant M$.

Suppose that $\mathbf{i} \in R(\mathring{w}_0)$ is adapted to a quiver Ω_0 of type A_{2n-1}, where

(4.1) Ω_0 : 

In this case of $\mathbf{i}$, the crystal structure on $\mathring{\mathbf{B}}_{\mathbf{i}}$ can be described explicitly as follows thanks to [32, Theorem 7.1].

Let $\mathbf{c} = (c_{ij}) \in \mathring{\mathbf{B}}_{\mathbf{i}}$ be given. For $i = n$, we have

$$\widetilde{e}_n\mathbf{c} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{c} - \mathbf{c}_{n\,n+1}, & \text{if } c_{n\,n+1} > 0, \\ \mathbf{0}, & \text{if } c_{n\,n+1} = 0, \end{cases} \qquad \widetilde{f}_n\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{c} + \mathbf{c}_{n\,n+1}.$$

(Here $\mathbf{0}$ is a formal symbol, not the zero vector in $\mathbb{Z}_+^M$.)

For $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$, if we put

$$c_k^{(i)} = \begin{cases} c_{i\,n+1}, & \text{if } k = 1, \\ c_1^{(i)} + \sum_{s=2}^{k}(c_{i\,n+s} - c_{i+1\,n+s-1}), & \text{if } 2 \leqslant k \leqslant n, \\ c_n^{(i)} + (c_{i\,n} - c_{i+1\,2n}), & \text{if } k = n+1, \\ c_{n+1}^{(i)} + \sum_{s=1}^{k-n-1}(c_{i\,n-s} - c_{i+1\,n-s+1}), & \text{if } n+2 \leqslant k \leqslant 2n-i, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} c^{(i)} &= \max\{\, c_k^{(i)} \,|\, 1 \leqslant k \leqslant 2n-i \,\}, \\ k_0 &= \min\{\, 1 \leqslant k \leqslant 2n-i \,|\, c_k^{(i)} = c^{(i)} \,\}, \\ k_1 &= \max\{\, 1 \leqslant k \leqslant 2n-i \,|\, c_k^{(i)} = c^{(i)} \,\}, \end{aligned}$$

then we have

$$\widetilde{e}_i\mathbf{c} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{c} - \mathbf{c}_{i\,k_0+n} + \mathbf{c}_{i+1\,k_0+n}, & \text{if } c^{(i)} > 0 \text{ and } k_0 \leqslant n, \\ \mathbf{c} - \mathbf{c}_{i\,2n-k_0+1} + \mathbf{c}_{i+1\,2n-k_0+1}, & \text{if } n+1 \leqslant k_0 \leqslant 2n-i-1, \\ \mathbf{c} - \mathbf{c}_{i\,i+1}, & \text{if } k_0 = 2n-i, \\ \mathbf{0}, & \text{if } c^{(i)} = 0, \end{cases}$$

$$\widetilde{f}_i\mathbf{c} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{c} + \mathbf{c}_{i\,k_1+n} - \mathbf{c}_{i+1\,k_1+n}, & \text{if } k_1 \leqslant n, \\ \mathbf{c} + \mathbf{c}_{i\,2n-k_1+1} - \mathbf{c}_{i+1\,2n-k_1+1}, & \text{if } n+1 \leqslant k_1 \leqslant 2n-i-1, \\ \mathbf{c} + \mathbf{c}_{i\,i+1}, & \text{if } k_1 = 2n-i. \end{cases}$$

Note that if $c^{(i)} = 0$, then we have $k_0 = 1$ with $c_{in+1} = 0$, and if $k_0 \geqslant n+1$, we have $c^{(i)} > 0$.

The diagram automorphism σ induces a bijection on $\mathring{\mathbf{B}}_{\mathbf{i}}$, still denoted by σ, such that $\sigma(\mathbf{c}) = (c_{ij}^{\sigma})$ with

(4.2) $$c_{ij}^{\sigma} := c_{2n-j+1\,2n-i+1} \quad (1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant 2n),$$

for $\mathbf{c} = (c_{ij})$. For $n+1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2n-1$, we have

$$\widetilde{e}_i = \sigma \circ \widetilde{e}_{2n-i} \circ \sigma, \quad \widetilde{f}_i = \sigma \circ \widetilde{f}_{2n-i} \circ \sigma.$$

4.2.2. *Types C_n and B_n.* The diagram automorphism σ induces an automorphism on $\mathring{P}$ given by $\sigma(\epsilon_i) = -\epsilon_{2n-i+1}$. Note that $\sigma \circ s_i \circ \sigma = s_{2n-i}$ for $i \in \mathring{I}$. Let $- : P \longrightarrow \mathring{P}$ be an embedding given by $\overline{\epsilon_i} = \epsilon_i - \epsilon_{2n-i+1}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ so that

$$\overline{\alpha_i} = \begin{cases} \alpha_i + \alpha_{2n-i}, & \text{for } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1, \\ \epsilon\alpha_n, & \text{for } i = n. \end{cases}$$

Let $- : W \to \mathring{W}$ be an injective group homomorphism given by

$$\overline{s_i} = \begin{cases} s_i s_{2n-i}, & \text{for } 1 \leqslant i < n, \\ s_n, & \text{for } i = n, \end{cases}$$

(see [5, Corollary 3.4]). By using induction on the length of w, one can check that for $w \in W$ and $\mu \in P$

$$\overline{w}(\overline{\mu}) = \overline{w(\mu)}. \tag{4.3}$$

Let $B(\infty)$ and $\mathring{B}(\infty)$ be the crystals of $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$ and $U_q^-(\mathring{\mathfrak{g}})$, respectively. We define the operators $\widetilde{\mathtt{e}}_i$ and $\widetilde{\mathtt{f}}_i$ for $i \in I$ on $\mathring{B}(\infty)$ by

$$\widetilde{\mathtt{e}}_i = \begin{cases} \widetilde{e}_i\widetilde{e}_{2n-i}, & \text{for } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1, \\ \widetilde{e}_n^{\epsilon}, & \text{for } i = n, \end{cases} \quad \widetilde{\mathtt{f}}_i = \begin{cases} \widetilde{f}_i\widetilde{f}_{2n-i}, & \text{for } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1, \\ \widetilde{f}_n^{\epsilon}, & \text{for } i = n, \end{cases}$$

where $\widetilde{e}_i$ and $\widetilde{f}_i$ are the Kashiwara operators on $\mathring{B}(\infty)$. We define $\widetilde{\mathtt{e}}_i^* = * \circ \widetilde{\mathtt{e}}_i \circ *$ and $\widetilde{\mathtt{f}}_i^* = * \circ \widetilde{\mathtt{f}}_i \circ *$ for $i \in \mathring{I}$, where $*$ is the bijection on $\mathring{B}(\infty)$ induced from the involution $*$ on $U_q^-(\mathring{\mathfrak{g}})$. By [13, Theorem 5.1], [30, Proposition 3.2] and [31, Theorem 2.3.1], one can characterize $B(\infty)$ as a subset of $\mathring{B}(\infty)$ as follows.

Proposition 4.5. *There exists a unique injective map*

$$\chi : B(\infty) \lhook\joinrel\longrightarrow \mathring{B}(\infty) \ ,$$

such that for $b \in B(\infty)$ and $i \in I$

$$\begin{aligned} &\overline{\mathrm{wt}(b)} = \mathrm{wt}(\chi(b)), \\ &\chi(\widetilde{e}_i b) = \widetilde{\mathtt{e}}_i \chi(b), \quad \begin{cases} \varepsilon_i(b) = \varepsilon_i(\chi(b)) = \varepsilon_{2n-i}(\chi(b)), & \text{if } i \neq n, \\ \epsilon\varepsilon_n(b) = \varepsilon_n(\chi(b)), \end{cases} \\ &\chi(\widetilde{f}_i b) = \widetilde{\mathtt{f}}_i \chi(b) \quad \begin{cases} \varphi_i(b) = \varphi_i(\chi(b)) = \varphi_{2n-i}(\chi(b)), & \text{if } i \neq n, \\ \epsilon\varphi_n(b) = \varphi_n(\chi(b)). \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Moreover, (4.4) *holds when* $\widetilde{e}_i$, $\widetilde{f}_i$, $\widetilde{\mathtt{e}}_i$, *and* $\widetilde{\mathtt{f}}_i$ *are replaced by* $\widetilde{e}_i^*$, $\widetilde{f}_i^*$, $\widetilde{\mathtt{e}}_i^*$, *and* $\widetilde{\mathtt{f}}_i^*$, *respectively. Hence* $\chi(B(\infty)) = \{\, \widetilde{\mathtt{f}}_{i_1}^{m_1} \cdots \widetilde{\mathtt{f}}_{i_r}^{m_r} \mathbf{1} \,|\, r \geqslant 0, i_1, \ldots, i_r \in I, m_1, \ldots, m_r \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \,\}$ *is isomorphic to* $B(\infty)$ *with respect to* $\widetilde{\mathtt{e}}_i$ *and* $\widetilde{\mathtt{f}}_i$ *for* $i \in I$ *as a* $\mathfrak{g}$*-crystal, where* $\mathbf{1}$ *denotes the highest weight element in* $\mathring{B}(\infty)$.

Let w_0 be the longest element of length $N = n^2$ in the Weyl group W of $\mathfrak{g}$. Given $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \ldots, i_N) \in R(w_0)$, consider $\mathbf{B}_\mathbf{i} = \mathbb{Z}_+^N$ the crystal of $\mathbf{i}$-Lusztig data for $U_q^-(\mathfrak{g})$. Let

$$\beta_1 := \alpha_{i_1},\ \beta_2 := s_{i_1}(\alpha_{i_2}),\ \ldots,\ \beta_N := s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{N-1}}(\alpha_{i_N}).$$

Let $\overline{\mathbf{i}}$ be the reduced expression of $\mathring{w}_0 = \overline{w_0} = \overline{s_{i_1}} \cdots \overline{s_{i_N}} \in \mathring{W}$. Then the image of $\mathbf{B}_\mathbf{i} \cong B(\infty)$ in $\mathring{\mathbf{B}}_{\overline{\mathbf{i}}} \cong \mathring{B}(\infty)$ under the map χ in Proposition 4.5 can be characterized as follows.

Theorem 4.6. *For* $\mathbf{i} \in R(w_0)$, *we have*

$$\chi(\mathbf{B}_\mathbf{i}) = \{\, \mathbf{c} \in \mathring{\mathbf{B}}_{\overline{\mathbf{i}}} \,|\, \sigma(\mathbf{c}) = \mathbf{c},\ \epsilon|c_{k\,2n-k+1}\ (1 \leqslant k \leqslant n) \,\},$$

where σ *is the bijection on* $\mathring{\mathbf{B}}_{\overline{\mathbf{i}}}$ *given in* (4.2). *Moreover, for* $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, \ldots, d_N) \in \mathbf{B}_\mathbf{i}$, *we have* $\chi(\mathbf{d}) = \mathbf{c} = (c_1, \ldots, c_M)$, *where*

$$c_k = \begin{cases} d_j, & \text{if } \beta_k \neq \sigma(\beta_k) \text{ and } \beta_k + \sigma(\beta_k) = \overline{\beta_j} \text{ for some } 1 \leqslant j \leqslant N, \\ \epsilon d_j, & \text{if } \beta_k = \sigma(\beta_k) \text{ and } \epsilon\beta_k = \overline{\beta_j} \text{ for some } 1 \leqslant j \leqslant N, \end{cases} \tag{4.5}$$

for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant M$.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \ldots, i_N)$ be given. For convenience, let us write $\overline{i} = (i, 2n - i)$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$ and $\overline{n} = n$ so that

$$\overline{\mathbf{i}} = (\overline{i_1}, \ldots, \overline{i_N}) = (i'_1, \ldots, i'_M) \in R(\mathring{w}_0).$$

Let $1 \leqslant j \leqslant N$ be given. If $i_j \neq n$, then $\overline{i_j} = (i_j, 2n - i_j) = (i'_k, i'_{k+1})$ in $\overline{\mathbf{i}}$ for some $1 \leqslant k < M$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_k &= \overline{s_{i_1}} \cdots \overline{s_{i_{j-1}}}(\alpha_{i_j}), \\ \beta_{k+1} &= \overline{s_{i_1}} \cdots \overline{s_{i_{j-1}}} s_{i_j}(\alpha_{2n-i_j}) = \overline{s_{i_1}} \cdots \overline{s_{i_{j-1}}}(\alpha_{2n-i_j}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sigma \circ \overline{w} \circ \sigma = \overline{w}$ for $w \in W$, we have by (4.3)

$$\beta_k = \sigma(\beta_{k+1}), \quad \beta_k + \sigma(\beta_{k+1}) = \overline{\beta_j}. \tag{4.6}$$

If $i_j = n$, then $\overline{i_j} = i'_k = n$ for some $1 \leqslant k \leqslant M$ and

$$\epsilon\beta_k = \epsilon\overline{s_{i_1}} \cdots \overline{s_{i_{j-1}}}(\alpha_n) = \overline{\beta_j}. \tag{4.7}$$

Let $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_N) \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}}$ be given. Define the sequence $\mathbf{d}_N, \dots, \mathbf{d}_1$ in $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}}$ inductively as follows:

$$\mathbf{d}_j = \widetilde{f}_{i_j}^{d_j} \widetilde{f}_{i_j}^{*\varphi_{i_j}} \widetilde{e}_{i_j}^{\varepsilon_{i_j}} \mathbf{d}_{j+1} \quad (1 \leqslant j \leqslant N), \tag{4.8}$$

where $\mathbf{d}_{N+1} = \mathbf{1}$, and $\varepsilon_{i_j} = \varepsilon_{i_j}(\mathbf{d}_{j+1})$, $\varphi_{i_j} = \varphi_{i_j}(\mathbf{d}_{j+1})$. By [33, Proposition 3.4.7], we have $\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{d}_1$. Applying χ to (4.8), we have by Proposition 4.5

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\mathbf{d}_j) &= \widetilde{\mathtt{f}}_{i_j}^{d_j} \widetilde{\mathtt{f}}_{i_j}^{*\varphi_{i_j}} \widetilde{\mathtt{e}}_{i_j}^{\varepsilon_{i_j}} \chi(\mathbf{d}_{j+1}) \\ &= \begin{cases} \left(\widetilde{f}_{i_j}^{d_j} \widetilde{f}_{i_j}^{*\varphi_{i_j}} \widetilde{e}_{i_j}^{\varepsilon_{i_j}} \right) \left(\widetilde{f}_{2n-i_j}^{d_j} \widetilde{f}_{2n-i_j}^{*\varphi_{i_j}} \widetilde{e}_{2n-i_j}^{\varepsilon_{i_j}} \right) \chi(\mathbf{d}_{j+1}), & \text{if } i_j \neq n, \\ \widetilde{f}_{i_j}^{\epsilon d_j} \widetilde{f}_{i_j}^{*\epsilon\varphi_{i_j}} \widetilde{e}_{i_j}^{\epsilon\varepsilon_{i_j}} \chi(\mathbf{d}_{j+1}), & \text{if } i_j = n, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant N$. By (4.4), we have

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon_{i_j} = \varepsilon_{i_j}(\chi(\mathbf{d}_{j+1})) = \varepsilon_{2n-i_j}(\chi(\mathbf{d}_{j+1})), \\ \varphi_{i_j} = \varphi_{i_j}(\chi(\mathbf{d}_{j+1})) = \varphi_{2n-i_j}(\chi(\mathbf{d}_{j+1})), \end{cases} \quad \text{if } i_j \neq n,$$

$$\begin{cases} \epsilon\varepsilon_{i_j} = \varepsilon_{i_j}(\chi(\mathbf{d}_{j+1})), \\ \epsilon\varphi_{i_j} = \varphi_{i_j}(\chi(\mathbf{d}_{j+1})), \end{cases} \quad \text{if } i_j = n.$$

Finally it follows from (4.6), (4.7), (4.9), and [33, Proposition 3.4.7] that $\mathbf{c} := \chi(\mathbf{d})$ satisfies (4.5), which also implies that $\sigma(\mathbf{c}) = \mathbf{c}$ and $\epsilon | c_{k\,2n-k+1}$ for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$. Conversely, suppose that $\mathbf{c} \in \mathring{\mathbf{B}}_{\overline{\mathbf{i}}}$ satisfies $\sigma(\mathbf{c}) = \mathbf{c}$ and $\epsilon | c_{k\,2n-k+1}$ for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$. By the above argument, there exists a unique $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}}$ such that $\mathbf{c} = \chi(\mathbf{d})$. This completes the proof. □

4.3. **Embedding into the crystal of Lusztig data.** Let $\mathbf{i} \in R(\omega_0)$ be given. We assume that $\overline{\mathbf{i}}$ is adapted to Ω_0 in (4.1). For $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_N) \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}}$, let us also write

$$d_k = \begin{cases} d_{ii}^+, & \text{if } \beta_k = \epsilon\epsilon_i \text{ for some } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n, \\ d_{ij}^+, & \text{if } \beta_k = \epsilon_i + \epsilon_j \text{ for some } 1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant n, \\ d_{ij}^-, & \text{if } \beta_k = \epsilon_i - \epsilon_j \text{ for some } 1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant n, \end{cases}$$

for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant N$, and

$$\mathbf{d}^+ = (d_{ij}^+)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant j \leqslant n}, \quad \mathbf{d}^- = (d_{ij}^-)_{1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant n}.$$

By Theorem 4.6, we have an explicit crystal structure on $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}}$ identifying $\mathbf{d}$ with $\chi(\mathbf{d}) = \mathbf{c} = (c_{ij}) \in \mathring{\mathbf{B}}_{\overline{\mathbf{i}}}$, where

$$\begin{cases} d_{ii}^+ = c_{i\,2n-i+1}/\epsilon, & \text{for } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n, \\ d_{ij}^+ = c_{i\,2n-j+1}, & \text{for } 1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant n, \\ d_{ij}^- = c_{ij}, & \text{for } 1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant n, \end{cases}$$

(see Section 4.2.1). Let

$$\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}}^{+} = \{\, \mathbf{d}^{+} \,|\, \mathbf{d} \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}} \,\} = \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}, \quad \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}}^{-} = \{\, \mathbf{d}^{-} \,|\, \mathbf{d} \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}} \,\} = \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}.$$

We regard $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\pm}$ as $\mathfrak{g}$-subcrystals of $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}}$, where we assume that $\varphi_n(\mathbf{d}^{-}) = -\infty$ for $\mathbf{d}^{-} \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}}^{-}$. Then we have the following.

Lemma 4.7. *Let $\mathbf{i} \in R(w_0)$ such that $\overline{\mathbf{i}}$ is adapted to Ω_0. Then the map*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}} & \xrightarrow{\ \delta\ } & \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}}^{+} \otimes \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}}^{-} \\ \mathbf{d} & \longmapsto & \mathbf{d}^{+} \otimes \mathbf{d}^{-} \end{array}$$

is an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$-crystals.

Proof. Let us keep the notations for $\mathring{\mathbf{B}}_{\overline{\mathbf{i}}}$ in Section 4.2.1. For $\mathbf{c} = (c_{ij}) \in \mathring{\mathbf{B}}_{\overline{\mathbf{i}}}$, let

$$\mathbf{c}^{+} = (c_{ij})_{(i,j)\in U}, \quad \mathbf{c}^{-} = (c_{ij})_{(i,j)\in L},$$

where $U = \{\, (i,j) \,|\, 1 \leqslant i < n < j \leqslant 2n \,\}$ and $L = \{\, (i,j) \,|\, 1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant n, \text{ or } n \leqslant i < j \leqslant 2n \,\}$. Let

$$\mathring{\mathbf{B}}_{\mathbf{i}}^{+} = \{\, \mathbf{c}^{+} \,|\, \mathbf{c} \in \mathring{\mathbf{B}}_{\mathbf{i}} \,\} = \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n^2}, \quad \mathring{\mathbf{B}}_{\mathbf{i}}^{-} = \{\, \mathbf{c}^{-} \,|\, \mathbf{c} \in \mathring{\mathbf{B}}_{\mathbf{i}} \,\} = \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{n^2-n},$$

and regard $\mathring{\mathbf{B}}_{\overline{\mathbf{i}}}^{\pm}$ as subcrystals of $\mathring{\mathbf{B}}_{\overline{\mathbf{i}}}$, where we assume that $\varphi_n(\mathbf{c}^{-}) = -\infty$ for $\mathbf{c}^{-} \in \mathring{\mathbf{B}}_{\overline{\mathbf{i}}}^{-}$. By [21, Theorem 4.2], the map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathring{\mathbf{B}}_{\overline{\mathbf{i}}} & \xrightarrow{\ \mathring{\delta}\ } & \mathring{\mathbf{B}}_{\overline{\mathbf{i}}}^{+} \otimes \mathring{\mathbf{B}}_{\overline{\mathbf{i}}}^{-} \\ \mathbf{c} & \longmapsto & \mathbf{c}^{+} \otimes \mathbf{c}^{-} \end{array}$$

is an isomorphism of $\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}$-crystals. By Theorem 4.6, χ induces a map $\chi : \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\pm} \longrightarrow \mathring{\mathbf{B}}_{\overline{\mathbf{i}}}^{\pm}$ such that $\chi(\mathbf{d}^{\pm}) = \chi(\mathbf{d})^{\pm}$, for $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}}$. This implies the following commutative diagram of bijections:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}} & \xrightarrow{\ \delta\ } & \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}}^{+} \otimes \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}}^{-} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \chi} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \chi\otimes\chi} \\ \chi(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}}) & \xrightarrow{\ \mathring{\delta}|_{\chi(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}})}\ } & \chi(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}})^{+} \otimes \chi(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}})^{-} \end{array}$$

Since $\mathring{\delta}$ is an isomorphism, we have by (4.4)

$$\widetilde{\mathtt{e}}_i(\chi(\mathbf{d}^{+}) \otimes \chi(\mathbf{d}^{-})) = \begin{cases} \widetilde{\mathtt{e}}_i\chi(\mathbf{d}^{+}) \otimes \chi(\mathbf{d}^{-}), & \text{if } \varphi_i(\chi(\mathbf{d}^{+})) \geqslant \varepsilon_i(\chi(\mathbf{d}^{-})), \\ \chi(\mathbf{d}^{+}) \otimes \widetilde{\mathtt{e}}_i\chi(\mathbf{d}^{-}), & \text{if } \varphi_i(\chi(\mathbf{d}^{+})) < \varepsilon_i(\chi(\mathbf{d}^{-})), \end{cases}$$

$$\widetilde{\mathtt{f}}_i(\chi(\mathbf{d}^{+}) \otimes \chi(\mathbf{d}^{-})) = \begin{cases} \widetilde{\mathtt{f}}_i\chi(\mathbf{d}^{+}) \otimes \chi(\mathbf{d}^{-}), & \text{if } \varphi_i(\chi(\mathbf{d}^{+})) > \varepsilon_i(\chi(\mathbf{d}^{-})), \\ \chi(\mathbf{d}^{+}) \otimes \widetilde{\mathtt{f}}_i\chi(\mathbf{d}^{-}), & \text{if } \varphi_i(\chi(\mathbf{d}^{+})) \leqslant \varepsilon_i(\chi(\mathbf{d}^{-})). \end{cases}$$

This implies that δ is a morphism of $\mathfrak{g}$-crystals, and hence an isomorphism. $\square$

In order to describe an embedding of the crystal of a maximal parabolic Verma module into $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}}$, let us briefly recall some well-known results on combinatorics of semistandard tableaux (cf.[6]).

Let $T \in SST_{[\overline{n}]}(\lambda^\pi)$ be given for $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}$ with $\ell(\lambda) \leqslant n$. For $\overline{i} \in [\overline{n}]$, we define $T \leftarrow \overline{i}$ to be the tableau obtained by applying the Schensted's column insertion of $\overline{i}$ into T in a reverse way starting from the rightmost column of T so that $(T \leftarrow \overline{i}) \in SST_{[\overline{n}]}(\mu^\pi)$ for some $\mu \supset \lambda$ obtained by adding a box in a corner of λ. Let $\mathbf{M}$ be the set of $[\overline{n}] \times [\overline{n}]$ matrices $\mathbf{m} = (m_{\overline{ij}})$ such that $m_{\overline{ij}} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $\sum_{\overline{i},\overline{j}} m_{\overline{ij}} < \infty$. Let $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{M}$ be given. For $\overline{i} \in [\overline{n}]$, we identify the $\overline{i}$th row of $\mathbf{m}$ with a tableau $T \in SST_{[\overline{n}]}(k)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $m_{\overline{ij}}$ is the number of $\overline{j}$'s in T, and let $w(\mathbf{m})_{\overline{i}} = w(T)$. We define $P(\mathbf{m}) = (((w_r \leftarrow w_{r-1}) \cdots) \leftarrow w_1)$ if $w(\mathbf{m})_{\overline{n}} \cdots w(\mathbf{m})_{\overline{1}} = w_1 \dots w_r$. By the well-known symmetry of RSK correspondence, we have $P(\mathbf{m}) = P(\mathbf{m}^t)$ if and only if $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m}^t$, where $\mathbf{m}^t$ is the transpose of the matrix $\mathbf{m}$. Hence the map sending $\mathbf{m}$ to $P(\mathbf{m})$ is a bijection

$$\kappa : \mathbf{M}^{sym} \longrightarrow \bigsqcup_{\mu \in \mathscr{P}} SST_{\mathcal{A}}(\mu^\pi),$$

where $\mathbf{M}^{sym}$ is the set of symmetric matrices in $\mathbf{M}$.

Now, consider $\mathbf{V}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{g}} = SST_{[\overline{n}]}(\nu) \times \mathbf{V}^{\mathfrak{g}}$ for $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}_n \cup \mathscr{P}_n^{\mathrm{sp}}$. For $\mathbf{V} = (V_2, V_1) \in \mathbf{V}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{g}}$, we define $\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{V}} \in \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}}$ by

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{V}}^+ = (d_{ij}^+)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant j \leqslant n} & \text{with } d_{ij}^+ = m_{\overline{ij}}/\epsilon = m_{\overline{ji}}/\epsilon, \\ \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{V}}^- = (d_{ij}^-)_{1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant n} & \text{with } d_{ij}^- = v_{ij}, \end{cases} \tag{4.10}$$

where $m_{\overline{ij}}$ is the $(\overline{i}, \overline{j})$-entry of $\mathbf{m} = \kappa^{-1}(V_1) \in \mathbf{M}^{sym}$, and v_{ij} is the number of $\overline{i}$'s in the $(n-j+1)$th row of V_2 from the top. Note that when $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{c}$, we have $V_1 \in SST_{[\overline{n}]}(\mu^\pi)$ for some $\mu \in \mathscr{P}_{\mathfrak{c}}$ and hence $2 | m_{\overline{ii}}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, where $\mathbf{m} = (m_{\overline{ij}}) = \kappa^{-1}(V_1)$.

Theorem 4.8. *Let $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}_n \cup \mathscr{P}_n^{\mathrm{sp}}$ and $\mathbf{i} \in R(w_0)$ such that $\overline{\mathbf{i}}$ is adapted to Ω_0. Then the map*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_\lambda : \mathbf{V}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes T_{r\omega_n} &\longrightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}} \otimes T_{\omega_\lambda} \\ \mathbf{V} \otimes t_{r\omega_n} &\longmapsto \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{V}} \otimes t_{\omega_\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

is an embedding of $\mathfrak{g}$-crystals, where $r = \langle \lambda, h_n \rangle$.

Proof. Let us first identify $\mathbf{M}$ with $\mathring{\mathbf{B}}_{\overline{i}}^+$, where $\mathbf{m} = (m_{\overline{ij}}) \in \mathbf{M}$ corresponds to $\mathbf{c}^+ = (c_{ij})_{(i,j) \in U} \in \mathring{\mathbf{B}}_{\overline{i}}^+$ in such a way that

$$m_{\overline{ij}} = c_{i\, n-j+1} \quad (1 \leqslant i \leqslant j \leqslant n).$$

Then $\mathbf{M}_\epsilon^{sym} := \{\, \mathbf{m} \,|\, \mathbf{m} = (m_{\overline{ij}}) \in \mathbf{M}^{sym},\ \epsilon | m_{\overline{ii}}\ (1 \leqslant i \leqslant n) \,\}$ is equal to $\chi(\mathbf{B}_\mathbf{i}^+)$. Under the above identification, the $\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}$-crystal (resp. $\mathfrak{g}$-crystal) structure on $\mathring{\mathbf{B}}_{\overline{i}}^+$ (resp. $\mathbf{B}_i^+$) coincides with the one on $\mathbf{M}$ (resp. $\mathbf{M}_\epsilon^{sym}$) defined in [16].

Let $\mathbf{V} = (V_2, V_1) \in \mathbf{V}_\lambda^\mathfrak{g}$ be given. By [16, Theorem 3.6] the map $\mathbf{V}^\mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathbf{B}_\mathbf{i}^+$ sending V_1 to $\mathbf{d}_\mathbf{V}^+$ is an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$-crystals. Also the map $SST_{[\overline{n}]}(\nu) \longrightarrow \mathbf{B}_\mathbf{i}^- \otimes T_{\omega_\lambda - r\omega_n}$ sending V_2 to $\mathbf{d}_\mathbf{V}^- \otimes t_{\omega_\lambda - r\omega_n}$ is an embedding of $\mathfrak{g}$-crystals (see [21, Proposition 5.2]). Therefore, the map sending $\mathbf{V} \otimes t_{r\omega_n}$ to $\mathbf{d}_\mathbf{V} \otimes t_{\omega_\lambda}$ is an embedding of $\mathfrak{g}$-crystals by Lemma 4.7. □

Example 4.9. Suppose that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{c}_5$ and $\lambda = (4,4,3,2,0) \in \mathscr{P}_5$. By Definition 3.6(2), we have $(a_4, a_3, a_2, a_1) = (3,2,1,1)$, and hence $\nu = (3,2,1,1)' = (4,2,1)$. Let $\mathbf{V} = (V_2, V_1) \in \mathbf{V}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{c}_5}$ be given by

$$V_2 = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}\hline \overline{3} & \overline{3} & \overline{2} & \overline{1} \\ \hline \overline{2} & \overline{1} \\ \cline{1-2} \overline{1} \\ \cline{1-1} \end{array} \qquad V_1 = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline & & & & & \overline{5} & \overline{3} \\ \hline & & \overline{5} & \overline{5} & \overline{5} & \overline{4} & \overline{4} & \overline{2} \\ \hline \overline{4} & \overline{4} & \overline{3} & \overline{2} & \overline{2} & \overline{2} & \overline{2} & \overline{1} \\ \hline \end{array}\ .$$

Since

$$\mathbf{m} = \begin{bmatrix} m_{\overline{55}} & m_{\overline{54}} & m_{\overline{53}} & m_{\overline{52}} & m_{\overline{51}} \\ m_{\overline{45}} & m_{\overline{44}} & m_{\overline{43}} & m_{\overline{42}} & m_{\overline{41}} \\ m_{\overline{35}} & m_{\overline{34}} & m_{\overline{33}} & m_{\overline{32}} & m_{\overline{31}} \\ m_{\overline{25}} & m_{\overline{24}} & m_{\overline{23}} & m_{\overline{22}} & m_{\overline{21}} \\ m_{\overline{15}} & m_{\overline{14}} & m_{\overline{13}} & m_{\overline{12}} & m_{\overline{11}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbf{M}^{sym}$$

satisfies $\kappa(\mathbf{m}) = P(\mathbf{m}) = V_1$, we have

$$\mathbf{d}_\mathbf{V}^+ = \begin{bmatrix} d_{55}^+ & d_{45}^+ & d_{35}^+ & d_{25}^+ & d_{15}^+ \\ & d_{44}^+ & d_{34}^+ & d_{24}^+ & d_{14}^+ \\ & & d_{33}^+ & d_{32}^+ & d_{13}^+ \\ & & & d_{22}^+ & d_{12}^+ \\ & & & & d_{11}^+ \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ & 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ & & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ & & & 2 & 0 \\ & & & & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

by (4.10). On the other hand, we have

$$\mathbf{d}_\mathbf{V}^- = \begin{bmatrix} d_{12}^- & d_{13}^- & d_{14}^- & d_{15}^- \\ & d_{23}^- & d_{24}^- & d_{25}^- \\ & & d_{34}^- & d_{35}^- \\ & & & d_{45}^- \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ & & 0 & 2 \\ & & & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where d_{ij}^- is the number of $\overline{i}$'s in the $(6-j)$th row in V_2 for $1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant 5$.

5. Embedding of KN tableaux into Lusztig data

In this section, we construct an embedding Θ_λ of the spinor model into the crystal of a maximal parabolic Verma module, which completes the description of an embedding of the crystal of KN tableaux into the crystal of Lusztig data in (1.1).

5.1. **Separation algorithm.** For $r \geqslant 2$, let

$$\mathbf{E}^r = \bigsqcup_{(u_r,\dots,u_1)\in\mathbb{Z}_+^r} SST_{[\overline{n}]}(1^{u_r}) \times \cdots \times SST_{[\overline{n}]}(1^{u_1}).$$

For $(U_r,\dots,U_1) \in \mathbf{E}^r$ and $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r-1$, we define

$$\mathcal{X}_i(U_r,\dots,U_1) = \begin{cases} (U_r,\dots,\mathcal{X}(U_{i+1},U_i),\dots,U_1), & \text{if } \mathcal{X}(U_{i+1},U_i) \neq \mathbf{0}, \\ \mathbf{0}, & \text{if } \mathcal{X}(U_{i+1},U_i) = \mathbf{0}, \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

where $\mathcal{X}(\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,)$ for $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}$ is defined in (3.1).

Lemma 5.1. *$\mathbf{E}^r$ is a regular $\mathfrak{sl}_r$-crystal with respect to $\mathcal{E}_i$ and $\mathcal{F}_i$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r-1$.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{N}$ be the set of $[\overline{n}] \times [r]$ matrices $\mathbf{m} = (m_{\overline{i}j})_{\overline{i}\in[\overline{n}],j\in[r]}$ such that $m_{\overline{i}j} \in \{0,1\}$ and $\sum m_{\overline{i}j} < \infty$. One may identify $\mathbf{N}$ with $\mathbf{E}^r$, where the jth column of $\mathbf{m}$ corresponds to $T \in SST_{[\overline{n}]}(1^{u_j})$ with $m_{\overline{i}j}$ the number of occurrence of $\overline{i}$ in T. We recall that there exists a $(\mathfrak{gl}_n, \mathfrak{gl}_r)$-bicrystal structure on $\mathbf{N}$ (see for example, [15, Section 4]). Then it is not difficult to see that $\mathcal{E}_i$ and $\mathcal{F}_i$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r-1$ in (5.1) coincide with the Kashiwara operators for the (regular) $\mathfrak{gl}_r$-crystal structure on $\mathbf{N}$. This proves the lemma. □

Let $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}_n$ be given with $\ell = \lambda'_1$ and $(a_\ell,\dots,a_1)$ as in Definition 3.6(2). Consider an embedding of sets given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{T}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{g}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{E}^{2\ell} \\ (T_\ell,\dots,T_1) & \longmapsto & (\underbrace{T_\ell^{\mathtt{L}}, T_\ell^{\mathtt{R}}, \dots, T_1^{\mathtt{L}}, T_1^{\mathtt{R}}}_{2\ell}) \end{array}$$

where $\mathbf{E}^{2\ell} = \mathbf{E}^{2\ell}_{\mathcal{A}}$ with $\mathcal{A} = [\overline{n}]$. Suppose that $\mathbf{T} = (T_\ell,\dots,T_1) \in \mathbf{T}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{g}}$ is given. By abuse of notation, we identify $\mathbf{T}$ with its image in $\mathbf{E}^{2\ell}$. We define $\overline{\mathbf{T}} = (\overline{T}_{2\ell},\dots,\overline{T}_1) \in \mathbf{E}^{2\ell}$ by

$$\overline{\mathbf{T}} = \big(\mathcal{F}_\ell^{a_1}\cdots\mathcal{F}_2^{a_1}\big)\cdots\big(\mathcal{F}_{2\ell-4}^{a_{\ell-3}}\mathcal{F}_{2\ell-5}^{a_{\ell-3}}\mathcal{F}_{2\ell-6}^{a_{\ell-3}}\big)\big(\mathcal{F}_{2\ell-3}^{a_{\ell-2}}\mathcal{F}_{2\ell-4}^{a_{\ell-2}}\big)\mathcal{F}_{2\ell-2}^{a_{\ell-1}}\,\mathbf{T}. \tag{5.2}$$

Recall that $\mathrm{ht}(T_i^{\mathtt{tail}}) = a_i$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant \ell$. By definition of $\mathcal{F}_i$ in (5.1), we first move $a_{\ell-1}$ boxes in $T_{\ell-1}^{\mathtt{tail}}$ to the $(2\ell-1)$th column (component) via jeu de taquin,

next move $a_{\ell-2}$ boxes in $T_{\ell-2}^{\mathtt{tail}}$ to the $(2\ell-2)$th column and so on. Hence we have

$$\mathrm{ht}(\overline{T}_i) = \begin{cases} b_i, & \text{for } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant \ell, \\ b_i + a_{i-\ell}, & \text{for } \ell < i \leqslant 2\ell, \end{cases} \tag{5.3}$$

where $b_{2i-1} = \mathrm{ht}(T_i^{\mathtt{R}})$ and $b_{2i} = \mathrm{ht}(T_i^{\mathtt{L}}) - a_i$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant \ell$. Put

$$\nu = (a_\ell, \dots, a_1)' \in \mathscr{P}_n,$$
$$\tau = (b_1, \dots, b_{2\ell})' \in \mathscr{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

As in Remark 3.7, we assume that $T_i^{\mathtt{body}}$ and $T_i^{\mathtt{tail}}$ $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant \ell)$ are separated by a common horizontal line, say L. According to this convention, we may assume that the bottoms of $\overline{T}_i$ $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant \ell)$ are placed on L, and $\overline{T}_i$ $(\ell < i \leqslant 2\ell)$ are placed with b_i boxes above L and $a_{i-\ell}$ boxes below L. Hence $\overline{\mathbf{T}}$ can be viewed as a tableau of the following shape

(5.4)



such that $\overline{T}_i$ is the ith column from the right.

Lemma 5.2. *Under the above hypothesis, we have*

(1) $\overline{\mathbf{T}} \in SST_{[\overline{n}]}(\eta)$, *where* η *is given in* (5.4),
(2) $\overline{\mathbf{T}} \equiv_{\mathfrak{l}} \mathbf{T}$, *where* $b \equiv_{\mathfrak{l}} b'$ *means that* b *is equivalent to* b' *as elements of* $\mathfrak{l}$*-crystals.*

Proof. Let $\overline{\mathbf{T}} = (\overline{T}_{2\ell}, \dots, \overline{T}_1)$ be in (5.2), which is a tableau of shape η (5.4). It is clear that (2) holds since $\mathcal{F}_i$ preserves Knuth equivalence. So it suffices to show (1).

For simplicity, we say that $(\overline{T}_{i+1}, \overline{T}_i)$ is semistandard when the subtableau of $\overline{\mathbf{T}}$ consisting of the columns $(\overline{T}_{i+1}, \overline{T}_i)$ is $[\overline{n}]$-semistandard. We will show that $(\overline{T}_{i+1}, \overline{T}_i)$ is semistandard for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2\ell - 1$. We use induction on ℓ and may assume that $\ell > 1$ since it is clear when $\ell = 1$.

Case 1. $\ell = 2$. Let $\mathbf{T} = (T_2, T_1)$ be given. Recall that $\mathrm{ht}(T_1^{\mathtt{R}}) = b_1$, $\mathrm{ht}(T_1^{\mathtt{L}}) = a_1 + b_2$, $\mathrm{ht}(T_2^{\mathtt{R}}) = b_3$, and $\mathrm{ht}(T_2^{\mathtt{L}}) = a_2 + b_4$ (cf. (5.3)). We have

$$\overline{\mathbf{T}} = (\overline{T}_4, \overline{T}_3, \overline{T}_2, \overline{T}_1) = \mathcal{F}_2^{a_1}(T_2^{\mathtt{L}}, T_2^{\mathtt{R}}, T_1^{\mathtt{L}}, T_1^{\mathtt{R}}) = (T_2^{\mathtt{L}}, \mathcal{F}^{a_1}(T_2^{\mathtt{R}}, T_1^{\mathtt{L}}), T_1^{\mathtt{R}}).$$

We remark that $(\overline{T}_3, \overline{T}_2)$ can be determined by the same algorithm as in Remark 3.5. Let $1 \leqslant i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_{b_3} \leqslant a_1 + b_3$ be such that

$$T_2^{\mathtt{R}}(k) \leqslant T_1^{\mathtt{L}}(i_k), \quad T_2^{\mathtt{R}}(k) \nleqslant T_1^{\mathtt{L}}(i_k + 1) \quad (1 \leqslant k \leqslant b_3). \tag{5.5}$$

Note that such $i_1, \ldots, i_{b_3}$ do exist since $T_2 \prec T_1$ (see Definition 3.6 (1)(iii)). Let $X = \{i_1, \ldots, i_{b_3}\} \cup \{a_1 + b_3 + 1, a_1 + b_3 + 2, \ldots, a_1 + b_2\}$. Then $\overline{T}_2$ is the tableau with the entries $T_1^{\mathtt{L}}(k)$ for $k \in X$, while $\overline{T}_3$ is the tableau with the entries of $T_2^{\mathtt{R}}$ and $T_1^{\mathtt{L}}(k)$ for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant a_1 + b_3$ and $k \notin X$. It is not difficult to see that $(\overline{T}_3, \overline{T}_2)$ is semistandard.

Let $1 \leqslant j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_{b_1} \leqslant a_1 + b_2$ such that

$$T_1^{\mathtt{L}}(j_k) \leqslant T_1^{\mathtt{R}}(k), \quad T_1^{\mathtt{L}}(j_k - 1) \nleqslant T_1^{\mathtt{R}}(k) \quad (1 \leqslant k \leqslant b_1). \tag{5.6}$$

Then ${}^{\mathtt{L}}T_1$ is the tableau with entries $T_1^{\mathtt{L}}(k)$ for $k \in \{j_1, \ldots, j_{b_1}\}$. By Definition 3.6 (1)(iii), (5.5) and (5.6), we have $T_2^{\mathtt{R}}(k) \leqslant {}^{\mathtt{L}}T_1(k) = T_1^{\mathtt{L}}(j_k) \leqslant T_1^{\mathtt{R}}(k)$ for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant b_3$. By (5.5), we have $i_k \geqslant j_k$ for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant b_3$. Hence $\overline{T}_2(k) = T_1^{\mathtt{L}}(i_k) \leqslant T_1^{\mathtt{L}}(j_k) \leqslant T_1^{\mathtt{R}}(k) = \overline{T}_1(k)$ for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant b_3$. We also have $\overline{T}_2(k) = T_1^{\mathtt{L}}(k + a_1) \leqslant T_1^{\mathtt{R}}(k) = \overline{T}_1(k)$ for $b_3 < k \leqslant b_2$. So $(\overline{T}_2, \overline{T}_1)$ is semistandard.

Also by the algorithm in Remark 3.5 and Definition 3.6 (1)(ii), we have $T_2^{\mathtt{L}}(k) \leqslant {}^{\mathtt{R}}T_2(k) \leqslant T_1^{\mathtt{L}}(k)$ for $k \geqslant 1$. This implies that $(\overline{T}_4, \overline{T}_3)$ is semistandard. Hence $\overline{\mathbf{T}}$ is semistandard.

Case 2. $\ell = 3$. Let $\mathbf{T} = (T_3, T_2, T_1)$ be given. Note that

$$\overline{\mathbf{T}} = \mathcal{F}_3^{a_1} \mathcal{F}_2^{a_1} \mathcal{F}_4^{a_2} \mathbf{T}$$

First consider

$$(\overline{T}_6, \overline{T}_5, U_4, U_3, \overline{T}_2, \overline{T}_1) = \mathcal{F}_2^{a_1} \mathcal{F}_4^{a_2} \mathbf{T}.$$

We have by the argument in *Case 1* that both $(\overline{T}_2, \overline{T}_1)$ and $(\overline{T}_6, \overline{T}_5)$ are semistandard. Let $1 \leqslant i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_{b_3} \leqslant a_1 + b_3$ be as in (5.5). Then U_3 and $\overline{T}_2$ are the same as $\overline{T}_3$ and $\overline{T}_2$ in *Case 1*, respectively. Let $1 \leqslant j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_{b_5} \leqslant a_2 + b_5$ be such that

$$T_3^{\mathtt{R}}(k) \leqslant T_2^{\mathtt{L}}(j_k), \quad T_3^{\mathtt{R}}(k) \nleqslant T_2^{\mathtt{L}}(j_k + 1) \quad (1 \leqslant k \leqslant b_5). \tag{5.7}$$

Put $Y = \{j_1, \ldots, j_{b_5}\} \cup \{a_2 + b_5 + 1, a_2 + b_5 + 2, \ldots, a_2 + b_4\}$. By the same algorithm as in Remark 3.5, U_4 is the tableau with the entries $T_2^{\mathtt{L}}(k)$ for $k \in Y$, while $\overline{T}_5$ is the tableau with the entries of $T_3^{\mathtt{R}}$ and $T_2^{\mathtt{L}}(k)$ for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant a_2 + b_5$ and $k \notin Y$.

Next consider

$$\overline{\mathbf{T}} = \mathcal{F}_3^{a_1}(\overline{T}_6, \overline{T}_5, U_4, U_3, \overline{T}_2, \overline{T}_1) = (\overline{T}_6, \overline{T}_5, \mathcal{F}^{a_1}(U_4, U_3), \overline{T}_2, \overline{T}_1).$$

Note that

$$U_4(k) \leqslant T_2^{\mathtt{R}}(k) \quad (1 \leqslant k \leqslant b_4), \tag{5.8}$$

by the argument for the semistandardness of $(\overline{T}_2, \overline{T}_1)$ in *Case 1*. Then we should have $\overline{T}_3(k) \leqslant T_2^{\mathtt{R}}(k)$ for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant b_4$ by (5.8) and Remark 3.5, and hence $\overline{T}_3(k) \leqslant T_2^{\mathtt{R}}(k) \leqslant T_1^{\mathtt{L}}(i_k) = \overline{T}_2(k)$ for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant b_4$. We also have $\overline{T}_3(k) = U_3(k + a_1) \leqslant \overline{T}_2(k)$ for $b_4 < k \leqslant b_3$ by the argument for the semistandardness of $(\overline{T}_3, \overline{T}_2)$ in *Case 1*. So $(\overline{T}_3, \overline{T}_2)$ is semistandard. Also $(\overline{T}_4, \overline{T}_3) = \mathcal{F}^{a_1}(U_4, U_3)$ is semistandard by (5.8) and definition of $\mathcal{F}$.

Applying the argument in *Case 1* to (T_2, T_1), $(T_2^{\mathtt{L}}, U_3)$ is semistandard, that is,

$$T_2^{\mathtt{L}}(k + a_2 - a_1) \leqslant U_3(k) \quad (k \geqslant 1). \tag{5.9}$$

Let $1 \leqslant j'_1 < j'_2 < \cdots < j'_{b_4} \leqslant a_1 + b_4$ be such that

$$U_4(k) \leqslant U_3(j'_k), \quad U_4(k) \nleqslant U_3(j'_k + 1) \quad (1 \leqslant k \leqslant b_4). \tag{5.10}$$

Such $j'_1, \ldots, j'_{b_4}$ exist by (5.9). Let $Y' = \{j'_1, \ldots, j'_{b_4}\} \cup \{a_1 + b_4 + 1, a_1 + b_4 + 2, \ldots, a_1 + b_3\}$. By Remark 3.5, $\overline{T}_3$ is the tableau with the entries $U_3(k)$ for $k \in Y'$, while $\overline{T}_4$ is the tableau with the entries of U_4 and $U_3(k)$ for $k \notin Y'$.

For $1 \leqslant k \leqslant b_4$, we have $U_4(k) = T_2^{\mathtt{L}}(j_k) \leqslant U_3(j_k - (a_2 - a_1))$ by (5.9), which implies from (5.8) that

$$j_k \leqslant (a_2 - a_1) + j'_k. \tag{5.11}$$

Here we assume that $j_{b_5+k} = a_2 + b_5 + k$ for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant b_4 - b_5$. For $1 \leqslant k \leqslant b_5$, we have

$$\overline{T}_5(j_k) = T_3^{\mathtt{R}}(k) \leqslant T_2^{\mathtt{L}}(j_k) \leqslant U_3(j_k - (a_2 - a_1)) \tag{5.12}$$

by (5.7) and (5.9). On the other hand,

$$\overline{T}_4(j_k - (a_2 - a_1)) = U_3(j_k - (a_2 - a_1)) \quad \text{or} \quad T_2^{\mathtt{L}}(j_{k'}) \tag{5.13}$$

for some $k' \leqslant k$ by definition of $\overline{T}_4$ and (5.11). By (5.12) and (5.13), we have $\overline{T}_5(j_k) \leqslant \overline{T}_4(j_k - (a_2 - a_1))$. For $j_k < l < j_{k+1}$ with $0 \leqslant k < b_5$ and $j_0 = 0$, we have $\overline{T}_5(l) = T_2^{\mathtt{L}}(l) \leqslant T_2^{\mathtt{L}}(j_k)$ and $\overline{T}_4(l) = U_3(l - (a_2 - a_1))$ or $T_2^{\mathtt{L}}(j_{k'})$ for some $k' \leqslant k$, which also implies that $\overline{T}_5(l) \leqslant \overline{T}_4(l - (a_2 - a_1))$. So $(\overline{T}_5, \overline{T}_4)$ is semistandard, and hence $\overline{\mathbf{T}}$ is semistandard.

Case 3. $\ell > 3$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y}_1 &= (\mathcal{F}_3^{a_1} \mathcal{F}_2^{a_1}) \cdots \left(\mathcal{F}_{2\ell-5}^{a_{\ell-3}} \mathcal{F}_{2\ell-6}^{a_{\ell-3}}\right) \left(\mathcal{F}_{2\ell-3}^{a_{\ell-2}} \mathcal{F}_{2\ell-4}^{a_{\ell-2}}\right) \mathcal{F}_{2\ell-2}^{a_{\ell-1}}, \\ \mathcal{Y}_2 &= \left(\mathcal{F}_\ell^{a_1} \cdots \mathcal{F}_4^{a_1}\right) \cdots \left(\mathcal{F}_{2\ell-5}^{a_{\ell-4}} \mathcal{F}_{2\ell-6}^{a_{\ell-4}}\right) \mathcal{F}_{2\ell-4}^{a_{\ell-3}}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

We have

$$\overline{\mathbf{T}} = \mathcal{Y}_2 \mathcal{Y}_1 \mathbf{T} \in \mathbf{E}^{2\ell}, \tag{5.15}$$

since $\mathcal{F}_i \mathcal{F}_j = \mathcal{F}_j \mathcal{F}_i$ for $|i - j| > 1$ by Lemma 5.1. Let

$$\mathcal{Y}_1 \mathbf{T} = (U_{2\ell}, \ldots, U_1). \tag{5.16}$$

It is clear that $(U_{2\ell}, U_{2\ell-1}) = (\overline{T}_{2\ell}, \overline{T}_{2\ell-1})$ and $(U_2, U_1) = (\overline{T}_2, \overline{T}_1)$, and both pairs are semistandard by *Case 1.* For $2 \leqslant k \leqslant \ell - 1$, we have

- $S_k := (U_{2k}, U_{2k-1})$ is semistandard of shape $\lambda(a_{k-1}, b_{2k-1} - b_{2k}, b_{2k})$ by the proof of the semistandardness of $(\overline{T}_4, \overline{T}_3)$ in *Case 2*,
- $S_k \in \mathbf{T}^{\mathfrak{g}}(a_{k-1})$ since $r_{S_k} = 0$ by applying Lemma 3.2 to $\mathcal{F}_{2k}^{a_k}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{2k-1}^{a_{k-1}}\mathcal{F}_{2k-2}^{a_{k-1}}$,
- $S_k \prec S_{k-1}$ since $(S_k^{\mathtt{R}}, {}^{\mathtt{L}}S_{k-1})$ (resp. $({}^{\mathtt{R}}S_k, S_{k-1}^{\mathtt{L}})$) is semistandard by the proof of the semistandardness of $(\overline{T}_5, \overline{T}_4)$ (resp. $(\overline{T}_3, \overline{T}_2)$) in *Case 2*.

Hence we have

$$\mathbf{S} = (S_{\ell-1}, \dots, S_2) \in \mathbf{T}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\zeta), \tag{5.17}$$

where $\omega_\zeta = \omega_\lambda - \omega_{n-a_\ell} - \omega_{n-a_1}$, and by induction hypothesis,

$$\overline{\mathbf{S}} = \mathcal{Y}_2\mathbf{S} = (\overline{T}_{2\ell-2}, \dots, \overline{T}_3) \in \mathbf{E}^{2\ell-4} \tag{5.18}$$

is semistanard. Finally, $(\overline{T}_{2\ell-1}, \overline{T}_{2\ell-2})$ and $(\overline{T}_3, \overline{T}_2)$ are semistandard by the proofs of the semistandardness of $(\overline{T}_5, \overline{T}_4)$ and $(\overline{T}_3, \overline{T}_2)$ in *Case 2*, respectively. Therefore $\overline{T}$ is semistandard by (5.15) and (5.18). This completes the induction. □

Let $\lambda = \mu + \sigma_n \in \mathscr{P}_n^{\mathrm{sp}}$ be given with $\ell = \mu_1$. For $\mathbf{T} = (T_\ell, \dots, T_1, T_0) \in \mathbf{T}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{g}}$, we define

$$\overline{\mathbf{T}} = (\overline{T}_{2\ell}, \dots, \overline{T}_1, \overline{T}_0) \in \mathbf{E}^{2\ell+1}, \tag{5.19}$$

where $(\overline{T}_{2\ell}, \dots, \overline{T}_1)$ is obtained as in (5.2) with $(a_\ell, \dots, a_1)$ given in Definition 3.6(2), and $\overline{T}_0 = T_0$. Since $T_1 \prec T_0$, $\overline{\mathbf{T}}$ is also semistandard of shape η and $\overline{\mathbf{T}} \equiv_{\mathtt{l}} \mathbf{T}$ by Lemma 5.2. In this case $\tau = (b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{2\ell})' \in \mathscr{P}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ with $b_0 = \mathrm{ht}(T_0)$.

Definition 5.3. *Let $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}_n \cup \mathscr{P}_n^{\mathrm{sp}}$. For $\mathbf{T} \in \mathbf{T}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{g}}$, let $\overline{\mathbf{T}}$ be the tableau of shape η given in* (5.2) *and* (5.19)*. We define $\mathbf{T}^{\mathtt{tail}}$ and $\mathbf{T}^{\mathtt{body}}$ to be the tableaux of shape ν and τ^π given by the subtableaux of $\overline{\mathbf{T}}$ located below and above L in* (5.4)*, respectively.*

Corollary 5.4. *Under the above hypothesis, we have*

(1) $(\mathbf{T}^{\mathtt{tail}}, \mathbf{T}^{\mathtt{body}}) \in SST_{[\overline{n}]}(\nu) \times SST_{[\overline{n}]}(\tau^\pi)$,
(2) $\mathbf{T}^{\mathtt{body}} \otimes \mathbf{T}^{\mathtt{tail}} \equiv_{\mathtt{l}} \mathbf{T}$.

Proof. (1) follows from Lemma 5.2(1). (2) follows from the fact that the crystal structure on the connected component of $\overline{\mathbf{T}}$ does not depend on the choice of reading entries (column reading or row reading) (cf.[3, Theorem 7.3.6]) □

Example 5.5. Let $\mathbf{T} = (T_4, T_3, T_2, T_1) \in \mathbf{T}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{c}_5}$ with $\lambda = (4,4,3,2,0) \in \mathscr{P}_5$ given by



T_4 T_3 T_2 T_1

Regarding

$\mathbf{T} =$  $\in \mathbf{E}^8$,

8 *7* *6* *5* *4* *3* *2* *1*

where the numbers below each column tableau denote the indices for the components in $\mathbf{E}^8$, we have by (5.2)

$\mathbf{T} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_6^2}$ 

$\xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_5\mathcal{F}_4}$ 

$\xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_4\mathcal{F}_3\mathcal{F}_2}$ 

Therefore, we get

$\overline{\mathbf{T}} =$ 

and hence

$\mathbf{T}^{\mathtt{tail}} =$  $\mathbf{T}^{\mathtt{body}} =$ 

Remark 5.6. The ℓ-tuples $(T_\ell^{\mathtt{tail}}, \dots, T_1^{\mathtt{tail}})$ and $(T_\ell^{\mathtt{body}}, \dots, T_1^{\mathtt{body}})$ form tableaux of shape ν and τ^π, respectively, which are not necessarily $[\overline{n}]$-semistandard. But they become $[\overline{n}]$-semistandard when $\mathbf{T}$ is equivalent to an $[\overline{n}]$- semistandard tableau of a relatively small shape as an element of $\mathfrak{l}$-crystals. More precisely, if $\mathbf{T} \equiv_{\mathfrak{l}} S$ for some $S \in SST_{[\overline{n}]}(\gamma)$ with $\gamma_1 < \ell$, then $(T_\ell^{\mathtt{tail}}, \dots, T_1^{\mathtt{tail}})$ and $(T_\ell^{\mathtt{body}}, \dots, T_1^{\mathtt{body}})$ are $[\overline{n}]$-semistandard [18, Lemma 4.2], which implies that $(T_\ell^{\mathtt{tail}}, \dots, T_1^{\mathtt{tail}}) = \mathbf{T}^{\mathtt{tail}}$ and $(T_\ell^{\mathtt{body}}, \dots, T_1^{\mathtt{body}}) = \mathbf{T}^{\mathtt{body}}$. This phenomenon is closely related to so-called a *stable branching rule*, which is discussed in [18] with more details.

5.2. **Main result.** In this section, we establish an embedding of $\mathbf{T}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{g}}$ into $\mathbf{V}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes T_{m\omega_n}$, which is the last step for the construction of an embedding of $\mathbf{KN}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{g}}$ into $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}} \otimes T_{\omega_\lambda}$.

Theorem 5.7. *For $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}_n \cup \mathscr{P}_n^{\mathrm{sp}}$, the map*

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_\lambda : \mathbf{T}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{g}} &\longrightarrow \mathbf{V}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes T_{r\omega_n} \\ \mathbf{T} &\longmapsto (\mathbf{T}^{\mathtt{tail}}, \mathbf{T}^{\mathtt{body}}) \otimes t_{r\omega_n} \end{aligned}$$

is an embedding of $\mathfrak{g}$-crystals, where $r = \langle \lambda, h_n \rangle$.

Proof. Note that Θ_λ is injective since the map $\mathbf{T} \mapsto \overline{\mathbf{T}}$ is reversible. Let $\mathbf{T} \in \mathbf{T}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{g}}$ be given. By Lemma 5.2(2), we have $\Theta_\lambda(\tilde{x}_i \mathbf{T}) = \tilde{x}_i \Theta_\lambda(\mathbf{T})$ for $x = e, f$ and $i \in I \backslash \{n\}$. So it remains to show that

$$\text{if } \tilde{x}_n \mathbf{T} \neq \mathbf{0}, \text{ then } \Theta_\lambda(\tilde{x}_n \mathbf{T}) = \tilde{x}_n \Theta_\lambda(\mathbf{T}) \text{ for } x = e, f. \tag{5.20}$$

Let us prove (5.20) when $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{c}_n$ and $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}_n$. The proof for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{b}_n$ and $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}_n \cup \mathscr{P}_n^{\mathrm{sp}}$ is very similar, which we leave it to the reader.

Let $\mathbf{T} = (T_\ell, \dots, T_1)$ and $\overline{\mathbf{T}} = (\overline{T}_{2\ell}, \dots, \overline{T}_1)$. First, we associate a sequence $\sigma = (\sigma_\ell, \dots, \sigma_1)$ given by

$$\sigma_i = \begin{cases} + \ , & \text{if } \widetilde{f}_n T_i \neq \mathbf{0} \text{ or } T_i \text{ has } \boxed{x}\boxed{y} \text{ on its top } \ (\overline{n} < x \leqslant y \leqslant \overline{1}), \\ - \ , & \text{if } \widetilde{e}_n T_i \neq \mathbf{0} \text{ or } T_i \text{ has } \boxed{\overline{n}}\boxed{\overline{n}} \text{ on its top}, \\ \cdot \ , & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{5.21}$$

In $\sigma = (\sigma_\ell, \dots, \sigma_1)$, we replace a pair $(\sigma_j, \sigma_i) = (-, +)$, where $j > i$ and $\sigma_k = \cdot$ for $j > k > i$, with $(\cdot\,, \cdot\,)$, and repeat this process as far as possible until we get a sequence with no $-$ placed to the left of $+$, which we denote by $\sigma^{\mathrm{red}} = (\sigma_\ell^{\mathrm{red}}, \dots, \sigma_1^{\mathrm{red}})$. Recall from tensor product rule of crystals that if i is the largest (resp. smallest) index such that $\sigma_i^{\mathrm{red}} = -$ (resp. $\sigma_i^{\mathrm{red}} = +$), then $\widetilde{e}_n \mathbf{T} = (T_\ell, \dots, \widetilde{e}_n T_i, \dots, T_1)$ (resp. $\widetilde{f}_n \mathbf{T} = (T_\ell, \dots, \widetilde{f}_n T_i, \dots, T_1)$).

Next let $\overline{\sigma} = (\overline{\sigma}_\ell, \dots, \overline{\sigma}_1)$ be a sequence associated to $\overline{\mathbf{T}}$ given by

$$\overline{\sigma}_i = \begin{cases} + \ , & \text{if } (\overline{T}_{2i}, \overline{T}_{2i-1}) \text{ has } \boxed{x}\boxed{y} \text{ on its top } \ (\overline{n} < x \leqslant y \leqslant \overline{1}), \\ - \ , & \text{if } (\overline{T}_{2i}, \overline{T}_{2i-1}) \text{ has } \boxed{\overline{n}}\boxed{\overline{n}} \text{ on its top}, \\ \cdot \ , & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{5.22}$$

and define $\overline{\sigma}^{\mathrm{red}} = (\overline{\sigma}_\ell^{\mathrm{red}}, \dots, \overline{\sigma}_1^{\mathrm{red}})$ in the same way as σ^{red}.

We will prove that $\sigma^{\mathrm{red}} = \overline{\sigma}^{\mathrm{red}}$ and (5.20) holds. We assume that $\ell \geqslant 2$ and use induction on ℓ. We separate the cases as in the proof of Lemma 5.2(1) and keep the notations there. Note that $b_{2i-1} = b_{2i}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant \ell$ since $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{c}_n$. We denote by $\boxed{x_i}\boxed{y_i}$ the domino on top of T_i for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant \ell$, and by $\boxed{z_i}\boxed{w_i}$ the domino on top of $(\overline{T}_{2i}, \overline{T}_{2i-1})$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant \ell$.

Case 1. $\ell = 2$. Suppose that $\sigma_2 = +$, that is, $\overline{n} < x_2 \leqslant y_2$. If $b_2 < b_1$, then $x_2 = z_2$, $x_2 \leqslant w_2 \leqslant y_2$, and $x_1 = z_1$, $y_1 = w_1$, which implies that $\sigma_2 = \overline{\sigma}_2 = +$ and $\sigma_1 = \overline{\sigma}_1$. If $b_2 = b_1$, then $x_2 = z_2 \leqslant x_1$, $x_2 \leqslant w_2 \leqslant y_2$, and $x_1 \leqslant z_1$, $y_1 = w_1$, which implies that $\sigma_i = \overline{\sigma}_i = +$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Suppose that $\sigma_2 = -$, that is, $x_2 = y_2 = \overline{n}$. In this case, we have $x_2 = y_2 = z_2 = w_2 = \overline{n}$ and $x_1 = y_1 = z_1 = w_1$, which implies that $\sigma_2 = \overline{\sigma}_2 = -$ and $\sigma_1 = \overline{\sigma}_1$.

Suppose that $\sigma_2 = \ \cdot$, that is, $x_2 = \overline{n} < y_2$. If $b_2 < b_1$, then it is clear that $x_2 = z_2 = \overline{n} < w_2 \leqslant y_2$ and $x_1 = y_1 = z_1 = w_1$, which implies that $\sigma_i = \overline{\sigma}_i = +$ for $i = 1, 2$. Assume that $b_2 = b_1$. If $\overline{n} < x_1$, then $x_2 = z_2 = \overline{n} < w_2 \leqslant y_2$, $x_1 \leqslant z_1$, and $y_1 = w_1$, which implies that $\sigma_2 = \overline{\sigma}_2 = \ \cdot$ and $\sigma_1 = \overline{\sigma}_1 = +$. If $\overline{n} = x_1$, then $\overline{n} < y_1$ (since $\overline{n} < y_2$ and $T_2 \prec T_1$), $x_2 = z_2 = w_2 = \overline{n}$, and $\overline{n} < z_1 \leqslant w_1$, which implies that $\sigma = (\ \cdot\ ,\ \cdot\ )$ and $\overline{\sigma} = (-, +)$ and hence $\sigma^{\mathrm{red}} = \overline{\sigma}^{\mathrm{red}}$.

It is straightforward to check that (5.20) holds in any of the above cases.

Case 2. $\ell = 3$. Let $\sigma' = (\sigma'_3, \sigma'_2, \sigma'_1)$ be the sequence associated to

$$\mathbf{U} = (\overline{T}_6, \overline{T}_5, U_4, U_3, T_2, T_1) = \mathcal{F}_4^{a_2}\mathbf{T},$$

as in (5.22). Note that $U_3 = T_3$, $\overline{T}_6 = T_6$, $\sigma'_3 = \overline{\sigma}_3$ and $\sigma'_1 = \sigma_1$. By *Case 1*, we have $\sigma^{\mathrm{red}} = \sigma'^{\mathrm{red}}$. Let $\boxed{u_2}\boxed{v_2}$ be the domino on top of (U_4, U_3). Recall that $\overline{\mathbf{T}} = \mathcal{F}_3^{a_1}\mathcal{F}_2^{a_1}\mathbf{U}$.

Suppose that $\sigma'_2 = +$, that is, $\overline{n} < u_2 \leqslant v_2$. If $b_2 < b_1$, then $\overline{n} < z_2 \leqslant w_2$, $x_1 = z_1$, and $y_1 = w_1$, which implies that $\sigma'_2 = \overline{\sigma}_2 = +$ and $\sigma'_1 = \overline{\sigma}_1$. Assume that $b_2 = b_1$. Note that $\overline{n} < y_1$ since $\overline{n} < y_2$ and $T_2 \prec T_1$. If $\overline{n} < x_1$, then $\overline{n} < z_2 \leqslant w_2$, $x_1 \leqslant z_1$, and $y_1 = w_1$, which implies that $\sigma'_i = \overline{\sigma}_i = +$ for $i = 1, 2$. If $x_1 = \overline{n}$, then we should have $b_3 = b_2$, $x_3 = x_2 = \overline{n}$, $\overline{n} < y_3$ and hence $z_3 = w_3 = \overline{n}$ since $T_3 \prec T_2 \prec T_1$. So $\sigma_i = \ \cdot$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 3$ and $\sigma'_3 = -$. This implies that $z_2 = \overline{n} < w_2$, $x_1 < z_1$, and $y_1 = w_1$. So we have $\overline{\sigma} = (-, \cdot, +)$, while $\sigma' = (-, +, \cdot)$ and $\sigma = (\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$.

Suppose that $\sigma'_2 = -$, that is, $u_2 = v_2 = \overline{n}$. By the same argument as in *Case 1* (the case when $\sigma_2 = -$), we have $u_2 = v_2 = z_2 = w_2 = \overline{n}$ and $x_1 = y_1 = z_1 = w_1$, which implies that $\sigma'_2 = \overline{\sigma}_2 = -$ and $\sigma'_1 = \overline{\sigma}_1$.

Suppose that $\sigma'_2 = \ \cdot$, that is, $u_2 = \overline{n} < v_2$. By the same argument as in *Case 1* (the case when $\sigma_2 = \ \cdot$), we have either $(\sigma'_2, \sigma'_1) = (\overline{\sigma}_2, \overline{\sigma}_1)$ or $(\sigma'_2, \sigma'_1) = (\cdot, \cdot)$ and $(\overline{\sigma}_2, \overline{\sigma}_1) = (-, +)$.

We have $\sigma'^{\mathrm{red}} = \overline{\sigma}^{\mathrm{red}}$ in any case (hence $\sigma^{\mathrm{red}} = \overline{\sigma}^{\mathrm{red}}$) and can check that (5.20) holds in a straightforward manner.

Case 3. $\ell > 3$. For $1 \leqslant i \leqslant \ell$, define $\mathbf{T}^{(i)}$ inductively as follows;

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}^{(1)} &= \mathcal{F}_{2\ell-2}^{a_{\ell-1}}\mathbf{T}, \\ \mathbf{T}^{(i)} &= \left(\mathcal{F}_{2\ell-2i+1}^{a_{\ell-i}}\mathcal{F}_{2\ell-2i}^{a_{\ell-i}}\right)\mathbf{T}^{(i-1)} \quad (2 \leqslant i \leqslant \ell-1), \\ \mathbf{T}^{(\ell)} &= \mathcal{Y}_2\mathbf{T}^{(\ell-1)}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.23}$$

Note that $\mathbf{T}^{(\ell-1)} = \mathcal{Y}_1\mathbf{T}$ and $\mathbf{T}^{(\ell)} = \overline{\mathbf{T}}$ (see (5.14)). Let $\sigma^{(i)} = (\sigma_\ell^{(i)}, \ldots, \sigma_1^{(i)})$ be the sequence associated to $\mathbf{T}^{(i)}$ defined as in (5.22) for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant \ell$.

By *Case 1*, we have $\sigma^{\mathrm{red}} = (\sigma^{(1)})^{\mathrm{red}}$, where $\sigma_k^{\mathrm{red}} = (\sigma^{(1)})_k^{\mathrm{red}}$ for $\ell - 2 \leqslant k \leqslant 1$. By the arguments for $\sigma'^{\mathrm{red}} = \overline{\sigma}^{\mathrm{red}}$ in *Case 2*, we have $(\sigma^{(i)})^{\mathrm{red}} = (\sigma^{(i-1)})^{\mathrm{red}}$, where $(\sigma^{(i)})_k^{\mathrm{red}} = (\sigma^{(i-1)})_k^{\mathrm{red}}$ for $k \notin \{\ell-i, \ell-i+1\}$ for $2 \leqslant i \leqslant \ell-1$. Hence $\sigma^{\mathrm{red}} = (\sigma^{(\ell-1)})^{\mathrm{red}}$.

On the other hand, applying induction hypothesis to $\mathbf{S}$ in (5.17) we have

$$\left(\sigma_{\ell-1}^{(\ell-1)}, \ldots, \sigma_2^{(\ell-1)}\right)^{\mathrm{red}} = \left(\sigma_{\ell-1}^{(\ell)}, \ldots, \sigma_2^{(\ell)}\right)^{\mathrm{red}}.$$

Since $\sigma_k^{(\ell-1)} = \sigma_k^{(\ell)}$ for $k = 1, \ell$, we have

$$\sigma^{\mathrm{red}} = (\sigma^{(\ell-1)})^{\mathrm{red}} = (\sigma^{(\ell)})^{\mathrm{red}} = \overline{\sigma}^{\mathrm{red}}. \tag{5.24}$$

Again by induction hypothesis and (5.24), we see that (5.20) holds. □

Remark 5.8. For the proof of Theorem 5.7 when $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{b}_n$, we have to consider a sequence $\sigma = (\sigma_{2\ell}, \dots, \sigma_1)$ or $(\sigma_{2\ell}, \dots, \sigma_1, \sigma_0)$ for $\mathbf{T} \in \mathbf{T}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{b}_n}$ where σ_{2i} (resp. σ_{2i-1}) is associated to $T_i^{\mathtt{L}}$ (resp. $T_i^{\mathtt{R}}$), and σ_0 to $T_0 \in \mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{sp}}$. We define $\sigma_k = +$ and $-$ if the top entry of the column is greater than $\overline{n}$ and equal to $\overline{n}$, respectively. The other arguments are almost the same.

Now, combining Theorems 3.14, 3.19, 4.8, and 5.7, we have the following.

Theorem 5.9. *Let $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}_n \cup \mathscr{P}_n^{\mathrm{sp}}$ and $\mathbf{i} \in R(w_0)$ such that $\overline{\mathbf{i}}$ is adapted to Ω_0. The map $\Xi_\lambda := \Phi_\lambda \circ \Theta_\lambda \circ \Psi_\lambda$*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{KN}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{g}} & \xrightarrow{\ \Xi_\lambda\ } & \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}} \otimes T_{\omega_\lambda} \\ \big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \Psi_\lambda} & & \big\uparrow{\scriptstyle \Phi_\lambda} \\ \mathbf{T}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{g}} & \xrightarrow{\ \Theta_\lambda\ } & \mathbf{V}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes T_{r\omega_n} \end{array}$$

is an embedding of $\mathfrak{g}$-crystals, where $r = \langle \lambda, h_n \rangle$.

Remark 5.10. In [2], it is proved that the set of certain KN tableaux of classical type (up to certain equivalence) so called *large tableaux* (see also [8]) is isomorphic to the crystal of Kashiwara's string parametrization with respect to a reduced expression of w_0. We can define an embedding of $\mathbf{KN}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{g}}$ into the crystal of large tableaux by mapping T to $T' \equiv T \otimes H_\gamma$, where H_γ is a highest element in $\mathbf{KN}_\mu^{\mathfrak{g}}$ with $\langle \omega_\gamma, h_i \rangle \gg 0$ for all i. But unlike in type A, it is not easy in general to describe T' since the insertion scheme in types BCD [23, 24] is more involved. On the other hand, the embedding Ξ_λ in Theorem 5.9 uses only the Schützenberger's jeu de taquin sliding and RSK.

5.3. **Examples.** Suppose that $\mathbf{i} \in R(w_0)$ for $\mathfrak{c}_5$ or $\mathfrak{b}_5$, and $\overline{\mathbf{i}}$ is adapted to Ω_0.

(1) Let

$$T = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline & & 1 & 4 \\ \hline & 3 & 5 & \overline{4} \\ \hline 5 & \overline{5} & \overline{5} & \overline{2} \\ \hline \overline{3} & \overline{2} & \overline{2} & \overline{1} \\ \hline \end{array} \in \mathbf{KN}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{c}_5},$$

where $\lambda = (4,4,3,2,0) \in \mathscr{P}_5$. By Example 3.15, $\mathbf{T} = \Psi_\lambda(T)$ is given by

$\mathbf{T} =$ 

By Example 5.5, we have

$\overline{\mathbf{T}} =$ 

with

$\mathbf{T}^{\mathtt{tail}} =$  $\mathbf{T}^{\mathtt{body}} =$ 

Hence $\Theta_\lambda(\mathbf{T}) = \mathbf{V} \otimes t_{4\omega_5}$ with $\mathbf{V} = (\mathbf{T}^{\mathtt{tail}}, \mathbf{T}^{\mathtt{body}})$. Finally, by Example 4.9 we have $\Phi_\lambda(\mathbf{V} \otimes t_{4\omega_5}) = \mathbf{d} \otimes t_{\omega_\lambda} \in \mathbf{B_i} \otimes T_{\omega_\lambda}$, where

$$\mathbf{d}^+ = \begin{bmatrix} d^+_{55} & d^+_{45} & d^+_{35} & d^+_{25} & d^+_{15} \\ & d^+_{44} & d^+_{34} & d^+_{24} & d^+_{14} \\ & & d^+_{33} & d^+_{32} & d^+_{13} \\ & & & d^+_{22} & d^+_{12} \\ & & & & d^+_{11} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ & 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ & & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ & & & 2 & 0 \\ & & & & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{d}^- = \begin{bmatrix} d^-_{12} & d^-_{13} & d^-_{14} & d^-_{15} \\ & d^-_{23} & d^-_{24} & d^-_{25} \\ & & d^-_{34} & d^-_{35} \\ & & & d^-_{45} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ & & 0 & 2 \\ & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(2) Let $\lambda = (\frac{7}{2}, \frac{7}{2}, \frac{7}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) \in \mathscr{P}_5^{\mathrm{sp}}$ and

$$T = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}\hline & & & 3 \\ \hline & 2 & 0 & \overline{5} \\ \hline 1 & 3 & \overline{5} & \overline{4} \\ \hline 4 & 0 & \overline{3} & \overline{2} \\ \hline \overline{4} & \overline{1} & \overline{1} & \overline{1} \\ \hline \end{array} \in \mathbf{KN}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{b}_5}.$$

Then $\Psi_\lambda(T) = \mathbf{T} = (\Psi_3(T_3), \Psi_4(T_2), \Psi_4(T_1), \Psi_{\mathrm{sp}}(T_0)) \in \mathbf{T}_\lambda^{\mathfrak{b}_5}$, where



$T_3 \qquad T_2 \qquad T_1 \qquad T_0$



$\Psi_3(T_3) \quad \Psi_4(T_2) \quad \Psi_4(T_1) \quad \Psi_{\mathrm{sp}}(T_0)$

Since

$$\mathbf{T} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline \overline{5} & \overline{3} & \overline{4} & \overline{5} & \overline{5} & \overline{5} & \overline{5} \\ \hline \overline{4} & & \overline{1} & \overline{1} & \overline{3} & \overline{4} & \overline{4} \\ \hline \overline{2} & & & & \overline{2} & \overline{3} & \overline{2} \\ \hline & & & & \overline{1} & \overline{1} & \overline{1} \\ \hline \end{array} \in \mathbf{E}^7,$$

$\qquad\qquad 7 \quad 6 \quad 5 \quad 4 \quad 3 \quad 2 \quad 1$

we have

$$\overline{\mathbf{T}} = (\mathcal{F}_4\mathcal{F}_3)\mathcal{F}_5\mathbf{T} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline & & & & \overline{5} & \overline{5} & \overline{5} \\ \hline & & & \overline{5} & \overline{4} & \overline{4} & \overline{4} \\ \hline & & \overline{5} & \overline{3} & \overline{3} & \overline{3} & \overline{2} \\ \hline \overline{5} & \overline{4} & \overline{2} & \overline{1} & \overline{1} & \overline{1} & \overline{1} \\ \hline \overline{4} & \overline{3} & \overline{1} & & & & \\ \hline \overline{2} & & & & & & \\ \hline \end{array},$$

and hence $\Theta_\lambda(\mathbf{T}) = \mathbf{V} \otimes t_{4\omega_5} = (\mathbf{T}^{\mathtt{tail}}, \mathbf{T}^{\mathtt{body}}) \otimes t_{4\omega_5}$, where

$$\mathbf{T}^{\mathtt{tail}} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline \overline{4} & \overline{3} & \overline{1} \\ \hline \overline{2} \\ \cline{1-1}\end{array} \qquad \mathbf{T}^{\mathtt{body}} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline & & & & & \overline{5} & \overline{5} \\ \hline & & & & \overline{5} & \overline{4} & \overline{4} \\ \hline & & & \overline{5} & \overline{3} & \overline{3} & \overline{2} \\ \hline \overline{5} & \overline{4} & \overline{2} & \overline{1} & \overline{1} & \overline{1} & \overline{1} \\ \hline\end{array} \quad .$$

Therefore, we have $\Phi_\lambda(\mathbf{V} \otimes t_{4\omega_5}) = \mathbf{d} \otimes t_{\omega_\lambda} \in \mathbf{B_i} \otimes T_{\omega_\lambda}$, where

$$\mathbf{d}^+ = \begin{bmatrix} d^+_{55} & d^+_{45} & d^+_{35} & d^+_{25} & d^+_{15} \\ & d^+_{44} & d^+_{34} & d^+_{24} & d^+_{14} \\ & & d^+_{33} & d^+_{32} & d^+_{13} \\ & & & d^+_{22} & d^+_{12} \\ & & & & d^+_{11} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ & 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ & & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ & & & 0 & 1 \\ & & & & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{d}^- = \begin{bmatrix} d^-_{12} & d^-_{13} & d^-_{14} & d^-_{15} \\ & d^-_{23} & d^-_{24} & d^-_{25} \\ & & d^-_{34} & d^-_{35} \\ & & & d^-_{45} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ & & 0 & 1 \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

References

[1] A. Berenstein, A. Zelevinsky, *Tensor product multiplicities and convex polytopes in partition space*, J. of Geom. and Physics **5** (1988) 453–472.

[2] G. Cliff, *Crystal bases and Young tableaux*, J. Algebra **202** (1998) 10–35.

[3] J. Hong, S.-J. Kang, *Introduction to Quantum Groups and Crystal Bases*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics 42, Amer. Math. Soc., 2002.

[4] G. Fourier, M. Okado, A. Schilling, *Kirillov-Reshetikhin crystals for nonexceptional types*, Adv. Math. **222** (2009) 1080–1116.

[5] J. Fuchs, U. Ray, and C. Schweigert, *Some automorphisms of generalized Kac Moody algebras*, J. Algebra **191** (1997) 518–540.

[6] W. Fulton, *Young tableaux, with Application to Representation theory and Geometry*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1997.

[7] T. Hayashi, *q-analogues of Clifford and Weyl algebras- spinor and oscillator representations of quantum enveloping algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. 127 (1990) 129–144.

[8] J. Hong, H.-M. Lee, *Young tableaux and crystal $B(\infty)$ for finite simple Lie algebras*, J. Algebra **320** (2008) 3680–3693.

[9] J. Kamnitzer, *The crystal structure on the set of Mirković-Vilonen polytopes*, Adv. Math. **215** (2007) 66–93.

[10] M. Kashiwara, *On crystal bases of the q-analogue of universal enveloping algebras*, Duke Math. J. **63** (1991) 465–516.

[11] M. Kashiwara, *The crystal base and Littelmann's refined Demazure character formula*, Duke Math. J. **71** (1993) 839–858.

[12] M. Kashiwara, *On crystal bases*, Representations of groups, CMS Conf. Proc., 16, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (1995) 155–197.

[13] M. Kashiwara, *Similarity of crystal bases*, Contemp. Math., **194** (1996) 177–186.

[14] M. Kashiwara, T. Nakashima, *Crystal graphs for representations of the q-analogue of classical Lie algebras*, J. Algebra **165** (1994) 295–345.

[15] J.-H. Kwon, *Crystal graphs for Lie superalgebras and Cauchy decomposition*, J. Algebraic Combin. **25** (2007) 57–100.

[16] J.-H. Kwon, *Demazure crystals of generalized Verma modules and a flagged RSK correspondence*, J. Algebra **322** (2009) 2150–2179.

[17] J.-H. Kwon, *Littlewood identity and crystal bases*, Adv. Math. **230** (2012) 699–745.

[18] J.-H. Kwon, *Combinatorial extension of stable branching rules for classical groups*, preprint (2015) arXiv:1512.01877.

[19] J.-H. Kwon, *Super duality and crystal bases for quantum orthosymplectic superalgebras*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **23** (2015) 12620–12677.

[20] J.-H. Kwon, *Super duality and crystal bases for quantum orthosymplectic superalgebras II*, J. Algebraic Combin. **43** (2016) 553–588.

[21] J.-H. Kwon, *A crystal embedding into Lusztig data of type A*, preprint (2016) arXiv:1606.06804.

[22] A. Lascoux, *Double crystal graphs*, in: Studies in Memory of Issai Schur, in: Progr. Math., vol. 210, Birkhäuser, 2003, pp. 95–114.

[23] C. Lecouvey, *Schensted-type correspondence, plactic monoid, and jeu de taquin for type C_n*, J. Algebra **247** (2002) 295–331.

[24] C. Lecouvey, *Schensted-type correspondences and plactic monoids for types B_n and D_n*, J. Algebraic Combin. **18** (2003) 99–133.

[25] C. Lecouvey, M. Okado, M. Shimozono, *Affine crystals, one-dimensional sums and parabolic Lusztig q-analogues*, Math. Z. **271** (2012) 819–865.

[26] G. Lusztig, *Canonical bases arising from quantized universal enveloping algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **3** (1990) 447–498.

[27] G. Lusztig, *Canonical bases arising from quantized enveloping algebras II*, Progr. Theor. Phys. Suppl., **102** (1990) 175–201.

[28] G. Lusztig, *Introduction to quantum groups*, Progress in Math. **110**, Birkhäuser, 1993.

[29] T. Nakashima, *Crystal base and a generalization of the LR rule for the classical Lie algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **154** (1993) 215–243.

[30] S. Naito, D. Sagaki, *Path model for a level-zero extremal weight module over a quantum affine algebra*, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2003) 1731–1754.

[31] S. Naito, D. Sagaki, *Crystal base elements of an extremal weight module fixed by a diagram automorphism*, Algebr. Represent. Theory **8** (2005) 689–707.

[32] M. Reineke, *On the coloured graph structure of Lusztig's canonical basis*, Math. Ann. **307** (1997) 705–723.

[33] Y. Saito, *PBW basis of quantized universal enveloping algebras*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **30** (1994) 209–232.

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Seoul National University, Seoul 08826, Korea

E-mail address: jaehoonkw@snu.ac.kr